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1. The principal security branch involved in the capture of Adolf EICHMANN was the Shin Bet (Internal Security).

The Shin Bet reportedly had been working on the EICHMANN case for approximately six months and actually took EICHMANN into custody around the middle of May 1960.

Several weeks prior to the capture of EICHMANN, both Haim COHN, former Israeli Attorney General, and Rabbi ROSEN, the acting Attorney General, were said to have been warned that EICHMANN might be captured. Nevertheless.
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It was not until EICHMANN was en route to Israel on an airplane between Buenos Aires and Dakar that ROSEN was informed that EICHMANN was in custody of the Shin Bet and that he would be brought directly to Israel from Dakar.

2. When EICHMANN was asked if he would agree voluntarily to be taken to Israel for trial, he reportedly refused; however, about two days later, he "volunteered" to go to Israel in order to set the historical record straight and wrote a statement to that effect. A photostat of that statement has been sent to the Argentine Government.

3. The Shin Bet made many tests to insure that the man it took into custody was indeed EICHMANN, among them reportedly the following:
   a. EICHMANN was known to have certain identifying marks on his body, all of which were present.
   b. EICHMANN's 3" tape and his handwriting were identical under comparison.
   c. A power acquaintance of EICHMANN discussed his past meetings with EICHMANN and indicated he made some minor mistakes, every one of which EICHMANN allegedly corrected.
4. When EICHMANN was taken from prison to be handed over to the police, it is rumored that he believed that he was correct in his execution and that he was therefore visibly relieved by the sight of the vehicles and the uniformed police. EICHMANN will probably plead that he had no personal guilt and that he did only what he was told to do under circumstances which allowed him no choice. He might not contest the legality of his abduction if, by remaining silent, he could protect his family and allow it to continue its life under its assumed name.

5. The police, in reply to the obvious defense charge that EICHMANN's arrest was illegal, will probably claim that his arrest was perfectly legal and that only perhaps the way he got to the place of arrest was not legal. They will further probably maintain that this is not their problem, since it was not they who brought EICHMANN to the place of arrest. The courts might accept this, since it is obvious that EICHMANN would not have come to Israel of his own free will and since public opinion is so strong in this case.

6. Vladimir I. BILCHENKO, a Soviet diplomat in Israel, has stated that a "deal" had been effected between the
Government of Israel and KGB, the latter being
saddled with winning and the former needing something to offset
the serious blow to its prestige at home and abroad
occasioned by the sale of arms to Federal Republic of
Germany. Moreover, according to PILCHENKO, the
"capture" would also result in an increased budget for the
security forces. In addition, their intelligence and personnel are
reported to feel that RICHMANN's trial, particularly the
written confession, will be considered of such magnitude
importance that the trial will be conducted with such solemn tone
and restraint that there can be no hint of conspiracy
involving the events. They also believe that RICHMANN will be executed,
although they do not believe that an execution will take
place before a year has passed.