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HIGHLIGHTS

(Secret) In general, the first half of 1967 was a time of expansion and growth for the 1127 USAF Fld Acty Gp. The European Regional Base and the Singletons [REDACTED] established late in the preceding period, moved smoothly and expeditiously into operational activities. The Domestic Regional Base was established, and its swift and promising beginning augurs well for its future development. Plans are under way to establish an extension of European Regional Base and a Far East Regional Base.

The wartime support function was extended to include augmentation of the PACAF-area HUMINT collection force. And groundwork was laid for the activation of a fifth reserve detachment. Almost every collection project showed a marked increase in activity, and there were concomitant gains in both quantity and quality of production. The expansion of support capabilities kept pace with the expansion of collection operations. Technical support -- photo, audio, ADP, etc. -- was improved by the acquisition of more sophisticated and diverse equipment. Personnel support was enhanced by the implementation of new procedures initiated and developed through TOP SCORE.

(Unclassified) An event of major interest and significance was the receipt of the Air Force Outstanding Unit Award. The presentation was part of a dual ceremony which also included the changing of Group command...
responsibility from Colonel Robert L. Rizer to Colonel Rudolph C. Koller, Jr. The citation accompanying the award reads, in part:

The 1127 USAF Field Activities Group ... distinguished itself by exceptionally meritorious achievement ... in the operation of the United States Air Force Air Attache System and participation in Headquarters USAF Assistant Chief of Staff/Intelligence Human Source Intelligence activities. The outstanding professionalism, skill, and selfless devotion to duty displayed by members of this group participating in, managing and supporting the USAF Air Attache System and in solving the many problems associated with its assumption by the Defense Intelligence Agency, as well as in developing and operating priority aerospace human source intelligence collection activities, have materially contributed to establishing the United States Air Force as the leading military service participant in the overt human source intelligence collection field.
I. MISSION AND RESOURCES

MISSION

(Unclassified) The mission of the 127 USAF Fld Acty Gp is "to conduct collection operations and develop and maintain force and unit training programs, operational plans, and combat ready human intelligence (HUMINT) collection forces to fulfill ACS/I HUMINT responsibilities to HQ USAF, USAF Commands, and DOD in cold, limited, and general war." There was no change in this mission during the period 1 January - 30 June 1967, and Group functions also remained unchanged.1

ORGANIZATION

(Unclassified) The Group continues to function with its headquarters at Fort Belvoir, Virginia. Appendix A is a chart showing Group headquarters organizational structure as of 30 June 1967.

PERSONNEL

(Unclassified) Colonel Rudolph C. Koller, Jr., assumed command of the 127 USAF Fld Acty Gp in ceremonies held 30 June 1967. Colonel

1 AFR 200-1, Air Force Intelligence Responsibilities and Functions, 14 Feb 64, as amended.

Koller's previous assignment was as Deputy Director of Collection (AFNIC), HQ USAF. His intelligence experience dates back to the Korean War, when he served as Deputy Commander and Director of Operations of an air intelligence service squadron under the (then) Far East Air Forces (FEAF). He also has served in intelligence capacities with SAC elements ranging from squadron to headquarters level.

(Unclassified) Colonel Robert L. Rizon, who relinquished command of the 1127 USAF Fld Acty Gp to Colonel Koller, will retire during July 1967. The award of the Air Force Outstanding Unit Award to this Organization is testimony to the distinguished manner in which Colonel Rizon served his country and the United States Air Force.

(Unclassified) The sudden death of Colonel Benjamin Gordon, Chief of the Plans Division, was a distinct shock and a source of deep personal grief to all members of the Group. Colonel Gordon, who was stricken while on duty, was buried with full and appropriate military honors at Arlington National Cemetery on 15 February 1967. He was posthumously awarded the Legion of Merit (First Oak Leaf Cluster).

(Unclassified) The following table shows changes in authorized and assigned personnel strengths during the period 1 January - 30 June 1967.

3 These figures include 1127 Fld Acty Gp personnel only, Group headquarters and field. They do not include others assigned or attached to the Group for personnel and command administration --i.e., approximately 200 personnel in other AFNIC elements and approximately 1100 personnel (the 1133 USAF Sp Acty Scy) in DIA and the Defense Attache System (DAS).
A roster of key personnel is attached as Appendix 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Authorized</th>
<th></th>
<th>Assigned</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 Jan</td>
<td>30 Jun</td>
<td>1 Jan</td>
<td>30 Jun</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Officers</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Airmen</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Civilians</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>211</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>201</td>
<td>205</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Unclassified) Appendix 3 is a list of decorations processed for the 1127 USAF Fld Acty Gp and the 1133 USAF Sp Acty Sq, during the period 1 January - 30 June 1967.
II. HUMINT PLANNING AND PROGRAMMING

STREAMLINE II

(Unclassified) The second of two broad Air Force HUMINT management studies was completed on 31 March 1967. This document, called STREAMLINE II, is designed to identify functional areas and possible avenues whereby the Director of Collection (AFNIC) can increase the effectiveness of the Air Force HUMINT program in such aspects as organizational structure, management, employment and retention of skilled personnel, and logistical support.

WASHINGTON HUMAN RESOURCE COLLECTION CENTER (WHRC)

(Confidential) DIA has set 1 January 1968 as the target date to collocate the Washington-area HUMINT collection assets of the three services. This action implements the recommendation contained in the DOD Human Resource Intelligence Collection Implementation Plan of 1966, as modified and approved by Secretary Vance. The location and modus operandi of the Center are under study by a Joint Task Group composed of members from each service and DIA. The Air Force representative on this Group is Lt. Colonel Louis A. Gomes, Chief of the Program's Division (AFNIAB).

1 For details on the first study, STREAMLINE I, see 1127 USAF Fld Acty Gp History, 1 Jul - 31 Dec 63, p. 1

2 Memorandum for the Secretaries of the Military Departments, et al., DOD Human Resource Intelligence (HUMINT) Collection, 7 Jan 67. For background on the HR Implementation Plan and the WHRC, see 1127 USAF Fld Acty Gp History, 1 Jul - 31 Dec 66, pp 4-7.

NO FOREIGN SYS IMM
HUMINT PROGRAM ELEMENT OF THE CONSOLIDATED INTELLIGENCE PROGRAM (CIP)

Preparation, Coordination, and Submission

(Confidential) Perhaps the single largest and most important effort of the 1127 USAF Fld Acty Gp during the first six months of 1967 was in connection with the CIP -- specifically, the twofold task of: (1) coordinating the CIP submissions of the three USAF HUMINT units (1127 USAF Fld Acty Gp, 6499 Spt Gp (PACAF), and 7113 Sp Acty Gp (USAFE)) and consolidating them into a single HUMINT Program Element submission; and (2) reviewing the HUMINT portion of FTD AFSC's S&T Program Element to assure compatibility with other USAF HUMINT activities. Major Charles B. Copas (AFNIABA), as the HUMINT Program Element Monitor (PEM), was responsible for coordinating the efforts of the three HUMINT activities in preparing their CIP submissions and for assisting/advising AFNINCD (AFNIN OPR for the CIP) in matters relating to the HUMINT Program Element. Every division in the 1127th was deeply involved in the long hours of study and preparation, briefing, consultation, and coordination, required to bring the matter to a successful conclusion.

(Confidential) During January and February 1967, the 1127th submission was completed and the 6499th and 7113th submission were reviewed in detail. At a working conference in early February 1967, AFNINCD

3 For background on our recently assigned CIP monitorship responsibility for the newly designated HUMINT Program Element, see 1127 USAF Fld Acty Gp History, 1 Jul - 31 Dec 66, pp. 8-9.
(Lt. Colonels Wright and Wilkins) agreed that, in general, all three submissions were compatible and contained no apparently duplicative or conflicting proposals. The only significant changes effected in the course of Air Staff coordination of the submissions concerned manpower augmentation. The requests of all three HUMINT units were revised following a 7 March meeting of the AFNABA PEM with representatives of AFNINCDO and AFOMO.

(Confidential) On 10 March, Major General Jack E. Thomas, ACS/Intelligence, approved the HUMINT Program Element proposal and the S&T PEM’s recommendation that the proposed augmentation for FTD/AFSC’s HUMINT subelement be reduced in proportion to the overall reduction in the S&T Program Element’s proposed augmentation imposed by AFOMO.

On 19 April 1967, the entire USAF CIP for FYs 68-73, consisting of 16 program elements, was submitted by the Office of the Secretary of the Air Force to the Defense Intelligence Agency. The HUMINT Program Element of this package was referred to the Assistant Director for Collection (DIACO) for review and recommendation.

DIA Review

(Secret) During April and May 67, DIACO conducted a review of the HUMINT Program Element of the USAF CIP, preparatory to staffing and defending it through the Office of Director, DIA, and the DOD Review Board. Major Copas worked closely with all DIACO action officers
engaged in the review and arranged for extensive direct contact between
the DIACO action officers and appropriate elements of AFNIN, the 1127th,
the 6499th, and AFSC.

(Secret) During the DIACO review, major problems were encountered
because of a reversal in DIA's approach to the FY 68-73 CIP -- specifically,
an after-the-fact decision by DIACO to conduct a zero-base (i.e. compre-
hensive) review of all DOD HUMINT activities. This decision contradicted
DIA instructions\(^4\) that, for the FY 68-73 CIP cycle, a zero-base review
would not be required. Because the submissions prepared in accordance
with these instructions contained neither the detail nor the clarifying
language needed for a zero-base review, further extensive effort was
required of the PEM and other elements of the 1127th in order to develop
the additional data. As zero-base review of the 6499 Spt Gp submission
got under way, numerous questions were referred to the PEM by DIACO
for which answers were not available from any data on hand at HQ USAF.
At the request of the PEM, the 6499th sent a representative to Washington
to assist in providing DIA with the needed information.

DIA Recommendations and AFNIN Position

(Confidential) In June 1967, the Department of the Air Force received
a copy of the DIA Program Change Decision (PCD) Recommendation
(SD Form 428), and each PEM was requested to review the PCD for his

---

program element with a view to providing additional data in support of
proposals disapproved, and initiating action to prepare the official
Secretary of the Air Force reclaima which will be submitted in response
to the official OSD position to be communicated to the Military Department
in August 1967.

(Secret/Reclas) DIA recommendations on Air Force HUMINT CIP sub-
sions were considered acceptable on all but one major issue -- manpower
augmentations for the 1127 USAF Fld Acty Gp. To augment the Plans and
Operations Divisions, we had requested 71 personnel in FY 69 and 40 in
FY 70 -- straightlined from FY 70 with Group total of 3'1. This total
represents an increase of 55 over the total of 276 which had been approvec
as an end FY 72 total in last year's CIP. The point at issue stems from the
fact that, although the CIP is designed as a 5-year program (FY 1968-73),
DIA decided to approve increases only through FY 69 (the first "open year"
since FY 68 is already firm in terms of manpower and fund approvals).
Specifically:

DIA would approve only 7 of the 16 spaces requested for
Operations Division in FY 69, and then would straightline
the Division total at 36 through FY 73.

DIA would approve only the last year's approved 16-space
increase for Plans Division (disapproving the 37 additional
spaces requested) and would straightline the Division total
at 80 through FY 73. This was especially objectionable
because, in last year's FY 67-72 CIP, OSD and DIA had
approved a phased increase in the Division to 120 by end FY
72. Thus, the June 1967 OSD calls for a 40-space reduction
from the previously approved figure which, for the past year, has
served as a basis for the Division's long-range clandestine planning.
(Unclassified) Additional justification was submitted for all increases requested for FY 69 and, as of 30 June 1967, the PEM was preparing the official Air Force reclaim to the anticipated official OSD position.

WARTIME PLANNING -- HUMINT RESERVE PROGRAM

USAF Wartime Guidance

(Confidential) The War Programs Branch (AFNIAABC) served as the AFNIC action agency in updating the Intelligence Annex of the USAF Wartime Guidance (WG). The compiled input for the annex was considerable. The major point recommended for inclusion was formal recognition of 1127th responsibility for providing wartime augmentation forces for USAFE and PACAF. 5

Operations Plans

ADC/CONAD/NORAD Support

(Confidential) A comprehensive Tactical Intelligence Collection Team (TICT) exercise was conducted on 9 June 1967 to demonstrate TICT capabilities to support ADC, NORAD, and CONAD, as outlined in 1127th OPLAN 1-67. 6 The exercise conformed to an actual TICT mission in

5 See below, p. 10.

6 1127th OPLAN 1-67 superseded 1127th OPLAN 1-66. It is currently under revision to provide for the use of Reserve Intelligence Mobile Collection Teams (REMIT), rather than active duty personnel, for deployment to specified Air Defense Command locations. Two active duty (TICT) teams will be maintained at Fort Belvoir, however, as back-up for the REMIT force. For details, see 1127 USAF Fld Acty Gp History, 1 Jul - 31 Dec 66, pp. 9-10.
III. OVERT/SENSITIVE COLLECTION AND SUPPORT

EVASION AND ESCAPE

HQ PACAF Briefing

(Secret) In April 1967, Mr. Claude Waskins (AFNIABB), visited HQ PACAF to brief Brigadier General Rocky Triantafellu, DCS/Intelligence, and his staff on prisoner of war "PW" affairs. The briefing was in response

1 1127 USAF Fld Acty Gp Histor. 1 Jul-31 Dec 66, p. 41.

NO HUMAN DISSEN
to General Triantafellu's query as to whether his E&E staff was being kept current on all PW developments. Primary subjects discussed included availability of informants, already disseminated, methods and procedures used for [REDACTED] and the number of sources of this information.

Visit to Aerospace Rescue and Recovery Center

(Unclassified) In June 1967, Mr. Vackins visited the Aerospace Rescue and Recovery Center at Cocoa AFB, Florida, where he was briefed by the Director of Operations on current operations in NVN, recovery devices used, and plans for the development of new recovery techniques and equipment.

E&E Memorandums

(Confidential) AFNIAAB published ACS/I E&E Memorandum No. Three on 27 January 1967. Distribution requirements totaled approximately 500 copies. This third memorandum discussed a number of national-level activities during the latter half of 1966 that concerned American PWs in Southeast Asia (SEA): (1) two proposed Senate concurrent resolutions expressing US views on NVN threats to try American PW's as war criminals; (2) two DOD memorandums relating to prisoner status and post-release processing; (3) a Department of State memorandum containing a summary of recent and current attempts to secure good treatment and

---

2 Ltr Brig Gen Triantafellu to USAF (AFNIN), Missing and Captured Personnel (U), 10 Oct 66.
the release of American prisoners in NVN; and (4) a DIA intelligence report containing an analysis of the treatment received by American prisoners in various areas of SE Asia. 3

(Confidential) ACS/I E&E Memorandum No. Four was published by AFNIABB on 29 May 1967, with distribution again totaling approximately 500 copies. In discussing pre-capture publicity, the memorandum emphasized that, although such publicity gains recognition for the USAF and for the heroic accomplishments of the individual involved, it can be helpful to the enemy and harmful to the individual and the interests he represents should he be shot down over NVN and captured. E&E Memorandum No. Four also contained a verbatim copy of the text of a second DIA intelligence report dealing with the treatment of US prisoners captured in NVN. 4

PROJECT ROPE

(Secret) Arrangements were completed with the Air Reserve Personnel Center (ARPC) in Denver, Colorado, to support Project ROPE (Reserve Overt Program of Exploitation).

[Redacted] This data on such personnel has now been incorporated in the ARPC's ADP repository, and printouts

3 DIAAP-IE IR 6010112266, Treatment of US Prisoners in SE Asia (K), 14 Oct 66.

4 DIAAP-IE IR 6010038467, Treatment of US Prisoners in SE Asia (K), 10 Apr 67.
have been produced by name, location, and occupation. The ARPC will update information in the data bank semiannually.

(Unclassified) Dissemination of guidance for Project ROPE is being held in abeyance pending the outcome of discussions with DIA concerning policies and other control factors.\(^5\)

**PROJECT SENTINEL CIRCUIT**

(Secret/No Foreign Dissem) SENTINEL CIRCUIT, inaugurated in November 1966, \(^6\)

Through the efforts of the project monitor, Mr. John J. Porasky (AFNIAAC), contacts with the Foreign Liaison Division (AFNICB) were increased and AFINCB began to act as "leads spotter" for Project SENTINEL CIRCUIT.

(Secret/No Foreign Dissem) The project was expanded during the reporting period In the latter cases, Mr. Porasky systematized the collection potential by developing a procedure on the basis of a

\(^5\) 1127 USAF Fld Acty Gp Hist., 1 Jul - 31 Dec 66, p. 15.

\(^6\) 1127 USAF Fld Acty Gp Hist., 1 Jul - 31 Dec 66, pp. 16-17.
review of their credentials. The principal sources for such SENTINEL CIRCUIT activities are

Intelligence reports based on such SENTINEL CIRCUIT operations have been impressive in number and in the evaluations received. To quote but one:

(Secret/No-Foreign-Discussion) Other areas in which SENTINEL CIRCUIT was expanded during the period 1 January to 30 June 1967 include
(Unclassified) As of 30 June 1967, the only limitation on SENTINEL CIRCUIT production was that imposed by manpower (only two case officers assigned).

PROJECT ROLL CALL

(Secret/No Foreign Dissem) The first half of calendar year 1967 was the most noteworthy since the inception of ROLL CALL. During the six-month period, a total of 1890 biographic files, an average of 315 per month, were processed to the Name Registry Branch (AFNIACC) by the AFNIAAA project officer. More important than the greatly increased quantity of files developed was the improvement in their content. A combination of factors contributed to this improvement, but a major factor was the successful educational program undertaken by the USAF and ATC project officers, Mr. Orval M. Mickelson and Major William D. Moraldo. This educational program included development of written guidance, field visits, and liaison. Participation of the two project officers in the AFSMS-conducted Foreign Training Officer Workshop in May 1967 afforded opportunities for both formal and informal presentations to personnel who must be contributors to the project.

(Secret/No Foreign Dissem) During this period, emphasis was placed on the Southeast Asia and [redacted] Of the files forwarded to the Name Registry Branch, 466 pertained to SEA personnel (356 SVN and 110 Laos) and [redacted] It is quite possible
that files on personnel from A total of 464 files forwarded during the period fall in this category.

(Secret/No-Foreign-Dissem) The most significant special collection action within ROLL CALL during the period was accomplished by personnel of the A SICR, initiated by Strike Command and validated by DIA, was levied on develop and report detailed assessment and personality data on Informal praise for the work submitted has been reinforced by a glowing written evaluation.

S&T CONFERENCES AND LEC. TALENTERS

Source Coordination and Denied Area Clearance

(Secret/No-Foreign-Dissem) Similarly, Air Force elements submitted a greatly increased number of requests for travel of Air Force sources to denied areas (Communist countries), the number processed during the period
(25) nearly equalling the total for the entire calendar year 1966. Coordination has been completed on all but 3 of these requests, 21 of which were generated by FTD and 4 by the Field Operations Branch (AFNIAAC).
On-Site Conference Exploitation

(Secret) For a number of years, Air Force case officers have conducted both in the United States and abroad. The methodology employed consisted of having a

DIA anticipated that it would publish an operating procedure to guide all military collectors in submitting on-site coordination requests. During the period 1 January to 30 June, Air Force collectors
submitted 13 formal on-site plans to AFNIAAC for on-site exploitation in overseas areas. 3 were subsequently cancelled by FTD, AFSC, and 3 remain outstanding. Of the 7 approved plans, several contained CIA stipulations that were considered unacceptable by the Foreign Technology Division and reclaims were submitted. Results of these reclaims were expected in the beginning of the second half of calendar year 1967.

(Secret) During the period 1 January to 30 June 1967, the Field Operations Branch (AFNIAAC) intensified its exploitation activities by generating a variety of collection operations with a potential to satisfy critical gaps. Increased exploitation of resulted in the publication of 51 intelligence reports during the period.

(Secret/No Foreign Dissemination) In March 1967, representatives of AFNIAAC briefed the Command and staff of the intelligence collection objectives. Similar briefings were conducted in
May 1967 at

The result has been greatly increased cooperation by supervisory personnel in those [redacted] facilities. As a result of operational planning completed prior to 30 June 1967, 30 [redacted] will travel to 22 [redacted] during the period July to September 1967, and numerous [redacted] plan similar exploitation activities during that period. Other coordination actions undertaken by AFNIAAC [redacted] have resulted in the development of working arrangements to exploit the [redacted] potential of five additional [redacted] facilities.

Concurrent with these coordination and planning arrangements, AFNIAAC increased its collection efforts. For example, MSGt Joseph Kelley, Jr. directed a very successful operation during the visit of a

US-USSR EXCHANGE PROGRAM

The Staff Operations Branch (AFNIAAB) processed 368 requests for Air Force security intelligence and opinions with respect to proposed US visits of Communists nationals for study, lectures, and travel. The visits of 345 individuals ... favorably considered. AFNIAAB asked the Interagency Intelligence Advisory Group on Exchanges (IAGE) to render
favorable opinions on five of these visits, requesting that if other elements objected they defer to the USAF. Of the remaining visit requests received, 6 were contested and 17 were deferred to other government agencies. The USAF R&D security element (AFSDC-S) continued to impose severe restrictions on access to data related to Air Force weapons systems.

(Secret) - Delegations had been selected and dates set for the following exchanges to take place in calendar year 1967: Cartography, Civil Air, Weather Modification, and Electronic Telecommunications. During the first six months, however, the Soviets informed the Department of State that indefinite postponement would be necessary. A single exchange in Industrial Standards and Norms was implemented. Additionally, the Soviets expressed interest in an exchange of delegations in the field of Chemical Fibers but no further action has been taken to implement such an exchange.

Projects ROUND ROBIN and ESCORT AIRCRAFT

(Secret/No Foreign Dissemination) The requirement that Soviet aircraft carry US aircrews during visits to or overflights of US territory following the lightning Middle East War, three Soviet IL-18's made flights to the US carrying Soviet delegations to the meeting of the United Nations General Assembly. On 17 June, the aircraft transporting Premier Kosygin was boarded by an escort crew in Canada, Newfoundland. On the same
date, a USAF crew also boarded the support aircraft for Premier Kosygin's party, at Prestwick, Scotland. A third aircraft carrying the Mongolian United Nations delegation was boarded at Gander on 18 June. Through arrangements made by the Staff Operations Branch (AFNIAAB), the two crews for the Gander-New York flights were airlifted to Gander on Special Air Mission (SAM) flights approved by the Vice Chief of Staff, USAF. On the return flights, the USAF crew accompanied Premier Kosygin's aircraft to Havana, Cuba, on 26 June, and thence to Gander where the crew deplaned on 30 June. The Mongolian delegation aircraft and the Kosygin support aircraft were escorted to Prestwick, where the escort crews deplaned on 27 June. Lt. Colonel George Mateckie and Captain Andris Zalmanis, qualified Russian linguists assigned to AFNIAAB, served as pilots for two of the aircraft; the third pilot was Lt. Colonel Vladimir Skuby, who at one time was assigned to the 1227th and who recently completed a tour of duty as Assistant Air Attache in Moscow.

(Secret/No Foreign Disseminate) The Kosygin visit mentioned above

Lt. Colonel Michael Jelich, the Chief of the War Programs Branch (AFNIBC) and a qualified Russian linguist, accompanied the Soviet Assistant Air Attache from
PARIS AIR SHOW

(Confidential) In planning for DOD exploitation of the Paris Air Show at LeBourget Airfield, 26 May through 4 June 1967, AFIN was tasked to develop a detailed operations plan responsive to the requirements of all DOD elements.

The Defense Attaché in Paris served as the single manager of the operation, and the Commander of Detachment 3, Foreign Technology Division, Air Force Systems Command, acted as "on the scene commander," directing the activities of USAF case officers and analysts. Technical exploitation of the Air Show was accomplished by FTD. Lt. Colonels Michael Jelish and George Matecko, who represented the 1127th in this on-site exploitation effort, attended the Air Show.

7 Msg DIACO-2B 9910 23 May 67.
The endeavor was successful and five excellent reports were produced.

FOREIGN MATERIEL PROGRAM

Project BLUE FLY

(Secret/No Foreign Dissemination) As a result of the 7 April 1967 air battle between Israeli and Syrian Air Forces, three MIG-21 aircraft downed by the Israelis crashed in Jordan. The USDAG, through his official contacts, received permission for personnel of Detachment 3, FTD, to visit the crash sites for the purpose of exploiting the aircraft. The Staff Operations Branch (APN-403) coordinated requirements necessary to deploy the FTD exploitation personnel and to airlift personnel and parts from site of acquisition to Wright-Patterson AFB. The take consisted of approximately three tons of aircraft parts and armament.

(Confidential) In February, the Joint Chiefs of Staff approved a one-year extension of the authority granted the USAF to apply Force/Activity Designator I (F/AD-I) priority to short-notice BLUE FLY airlift contingencies. This authority will terminate on 1 February 1968 unless sooner modified by JCS.

MIG-17 Exploitation

(Secret/No Foreign Dissemination) As a result of a Soviet pilot defection with a M-17 to West Germany, the 1127th USAF Fld Acty Gp provided Air Staff

8 1127 USAF Fld Acty Gp History, 1 Jan - 30 Jun 66, p. 4.
assistance to AFSC (FTD) for on-site exploitation of the aircraft, within existing political delimitations. The extreme sensitivity of this operation precludes further details in this document. Additional information, on a strictly need to know basis, is available in the office of the Director of Collection (AFNIC).

Project MOON DUST

(Confidential) The volume of MOON DUST actions remained fairly constant. The 1st Aerospace Control Squadron (SPADATS), Ent AFB, Colorado, notified AFNIAA of the deorbit of 37 Soviet space objects consisting of rocket bodies, payloads, and space platforms. SPADATS also advised AFNIAA of deorbit of 14 US space objects, considered of special importance.

FIELD OFFICE ACTIVITIES

Growth of the Field Office Program

(Confidential)
A tangible result of the intelligence community's high regard for this activity was reported during June 1967. It was learned that DIA officials, impressed by the high production yield and low cost of the program, had approved unconditionally AFNIAA's CIP request for six additional field office representatives.

Briefings for DCS/CIA Field Office Chiefs and Resident Agents

(Confidential)

Deputy Field Office Chief in Pittsburgh, and Mr. J. Walton Moore, Resident Agent in Dallas. Mr. C. J. J. expressed an interest in having an 1127th collector assigned to the Pittsburgh Field Office to service some potentially productive aerospace accounts. He specifically mentioned the rapid expansion of the

Mr.

Moore's attendance also was significant because the Houston Field Office and AFNIAA were discussing the advisability of assigning an 1127th collector

9 For background, see 1127 USAF VMO Acty Cp History, 1 Jan - 30 Jun 66, p. 13.
to the Houston Field Office for the purpose of servicing a number of potentially productive aerospace accounts in the Dallas/Fort Worth complex. As an outgrowth of these briefings and subsequent negotiations, the Houston FOC has submitted a formal request for an 1127th representative to be assigned to the Dallas Resident Agency. An officer will be on station in Dallas in mid-September. Discussions for the assignment of a representative to Pittsburgh are under way.

Field Office Personnel

(Unclassified) Major Robert L. Hull has been assigned to Los Angeles as a replacement for Major William Hrabko. Majors Delie L. Ferris and Andrew F. P. Peerson reported in June for training prior to assuming duties in Chicago and Los Angeles.

Expanded Training Program

(Confidential)
INTELLIGENCE REPORTING AND EVALUATIONS

Headquarters Production

(Secret/No Foreign Dissem) During the period 1 January to 30 June 1967, a distinct qualitative and quantitative improvement was evident in Field Operations Branch (AFNIAAC) intelligence report production. Disciplined collection management was responsible for publication of 29 Notices of Intelligence Potential, establishment of 49 new collection cases, and registration of 25 new sources of foreign positive intelligence. A total of 113 intelligence reports was published.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PROJECT</th>
<th>NUMBER OF IRs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LEGAL TRAVEL</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SENTINEL CIRCUIT</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROLL CALL</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROUND ROBIN</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAG ALONG</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td><strong>113</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Secret/No Foreign Dissem) The 14 evaluations received so far on the 113 reports published during the reporting period\(^{11}\) confirm the value of AFNIAAC reports as a vehicle to fulfill priority aerospace and defense requirements. A pattern of strong improvement in both usability and

\(^{11}\) The fact that only 14 evaluations have been received on the 113 intelligence reports produced during the period is due to the normal delay of several months between submission of an IR and receipt of consumer evaluations. It is anticipated that approximately 28 more evaluations of these reports will be received in ensuing months.
validity of AFNIAAC reports emerged from these evaluations. Over 51 percent were considered of great usability, while in calendar year 1966 only 36 percent fell in that category. A dramatic improvement also was noted in the category of confirmed validity where AFNIAAC reports showed a 50-percent improvement over calendar year 1966.

**CONSUMER RESPONSE TO FIELD OPERATIONS BRANCH REPORTS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>rcat</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>51%</td>
<td>Confirmed</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>99%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>Probably True</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slight</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>Partly True</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unusable</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Doubtful</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Undetermined</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Availability**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1966</th>
<th>1 Jan/30 Jun 1967</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Never or Rarely</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occasionally</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Often</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Examples of the high praise accorded AFNIAAC reports by intelligence community analysts demonstrate vividly the usability and validity of the information collected.

Great Usability...Probably True...Most of this information reported for the first time...This report provided a great deal of good background information about some
of the more active problems presently affecting both [Omitted] and the US. Of major interest and value were the sections dealing with aircraft acquisition probabilities and joint military operations.\(^{13}\)

Great Usability... Probably True... All of this information reported for the first time... This type of information is of the utmost value for purposes of evaluating the individual.\(^ {14}\)

Great Usability... Probably True... Most of this information reported for the first time... The source has done an excellent job in producing this report... furnishes not only details on the subject conference but also supplies some interesting side-commentaries on the [Omitted] participants and their other interests.\(^ {15}\)

Field Representative Production.

(Confidential) The excellent production performance of the 1127th field office representatives during the first half of calendar year 1967 surpassed production for the same period during calendar year 1966.

A particularly impressive result was recorded by the New York representative, [Name Redacted] who surpassed earlier straight-line production estimates by a 20-percent margin, and by the Los Angeles and Minneapolis representatives, [Name Redacted] and [Name Redacted] who bettered predicted figures by 15 percent. [Name Redacted] of the Chicago field office,

13 DIA evaluation to IR 1 30 2.0467.
14 DIA evaluation to IR 1 30 2.0443 67.
15 FTD evaluation to IR 1 5.2 8019 67.
continued his earlier superb production performance by contributing 242 intelligence reports to the total field office production of 653 reports for the period 1 January - 30 June 1967.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FIELD OFFICE IN PRODUCTION</th>
<th>1 January-30 June 1967</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>NY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S&amp;T</td>
<td>303</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Military/Air</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biographic</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economic</td>
<td>142</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Political</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>653</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Confidential) Particularly impressive were the continued strong performances in scientific and technical and military/air categories of reports. These two categories combined, accounted for 56 percent of all reports generated by the field offices during the first six months of 1967, as shown in the table below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CATEGORIES OF FIELD OFFICE REPORTS</th>
<th>1 January - 30 June 1967</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Scientific and Technical.</td>
<td>46%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Military/Air.</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biographic.</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economic.</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Political.</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous.</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The operational discipline and inherent emphasis on quality reporting in the field office system resulted in a very favorable pattern of consumer responses in the field office intelligence report. The data reported for validity were considered especially noteworthy since the 69-percent CONFIRMED figure surpasses by 51 percent the data reported for calendar year 1966.

**EVALUATION OF FIELD OFFICE REPORTING**
1 January - 30 June 1967

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Usability</th>
<th>Validity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Great</td>
<td>Confirmed 69%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Probably True 23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slight</td>
<td>Partially True 8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unusable</td>
<td>Doubtful -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Undetermined</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Availability**

- Never or rarely 11%
- Occasionally 78%
- Often 11%

Equally noteworthy was the strong production performance demonstrated in the area of operational and support memorandums. These memorandums represent assessment or exploitation activities whose results, for operational reasons, are reported by memo rather than by intelligence report.
FIELD OFFICE OPERATIONAL MEMORANDUMS
1 January - 30 June 1967

Chicago  150
Denver   55
Detroit  70
Los Angeles  45
Miami    -
Minneapolis  38
New York  48
San Francisco  27

TOTAL  433

(Confidential) During January 1967, an analysis of 586 intelligence reports produced by the 1127th field office representatives between 1 July and 31 December 1966 disclosed that more than 91 percent were considered to be OF VALUE, while only 9 percent were OF UNDETERMINED VALUE. Forty percent FILLED PRIORITY NATIONAL REQUIREMENTS. The same statistical sample, weighed from the standpoint of accuracy, produced a pattern in which 18 percent were CONFIRMED BY OTHER DATA, 35 percent were PROBABLY TRUE, and 24 percent POSSIBLY TRUE. The reports were uniformly responsive to aerospace and defense requirements. Fifty-seven percent of the reports were in the aerospace scientific and technical disciplines while 23 percent were in the military/economic/geographic category. The remainder of the reports were on miscellaneous political, sociologic, and biographic topics in response to priority defense requirements.
IV. CLANDESTINE COLLECTION AND SUPPORT

REGIONAL BASES

Europe

(Secret) The European Regional Base (RB-1) of the Plans Division (AFNIAD), established in December 1966, began to function operationally in this reporting period. After the initial "shake down" phase -- that is, the settlement of personal affairs, establishment of family, environmental adjustment, and making necessary contacts -- the Chief of RB-1, began a series of operational visits to AFNIAD Singletons in (S-1 and S-2). The prime purpose of these visits was to establish those basic administrative procedures which must precede operational ventures.

(Declass) to discuss the possible establishment of an RB-1 extension in that city. ¹ Excellent and close working relationships with the staffs of the 7113 Sp Acty Gp and the DCS/Intelligence, USAFE were established early in the reporting period.

(Secret) The reporting and registering of leads, the development of operational data, and the establishment of guidance for the Singletons, occupied the bulk of the time available to the Chief of RB-1. Although

---

¹ See below, p. 43.
some MEAFSA-connected individuals were identified through the efforts of RB-1, this represents an initial step in the leads development cycle.

In addition to its internally developed leads, RB-1 will, when its capability is increased, absorb certain MEAFSA-oriented leads and operations now maintained and serviced by the 7113 Sp Acty Gp.

Domestic

(Secret) The first half of 1967 saw the establishment of the Domestic Regional Base (RB-2), headed by Major Carl H. Wessel. The majority of these leads were directed toward collection against USAF military and scientific requirements. Two projects were carried over from the preceding period, and twelve new projects were launched. Of the latter, six are currently active and six are awaiting further action at higher levels.

---

2 Although RB-2 was not physically established until this reporting period, some base activities already had been initiated at Group Headquarters at Fort Belvoir.
Mid-East

(Secret) In consonance with AFNIAD's continuous move to expand its unilateral long-range [REDACTED] collection operations, plans were made to establish an extension of the European Regional Base [REDACTED] probably sometime in July 1967. This extension, when fully operational, will eventually develop into the Mid-East Regional Base. Its collection efforts will be targeted against the MEAFSA area. This is in response to CINCSTRIKE's evinced desire for HUMINT collection support in this area, for which the 1127th is responsible. Several operational visits were exchanged between personnel of J-2 US Strike Command and AFNIAD. One result of these meetings was the initiation of action to develop country plans for the MEAFSA area. These plans are now in the process of being prepared.

Far East

(Secret) A proposal to establish a Far East Regional Base [REDACTED] was completed and forwarded to HQ PACAF, for review and comments, and plans were made for discussions between the 1127 USAF Fld Acty Gp and PACAF. We expect the base to become operational during Fiscal Year 1968.

---


4 Ltr Maj Gen Jack E. Thomas, ACS/Intelligence, HQ USAF, to Brig Gen Rockly Triantafellu, DCS/Intelligence, HQ PACAF, 17 Apr 67.
(Secret) Parallel to the preparation and forwarding of the Far East
Regional Base proposal, AFNIAID began initial planning for actual collection
operations to be launched by the base, once it is established and operational.
Country plans for[()] were prepared, and a mission and target analysis of
weapons development and deployment was initiated.

Latin America

(Secret) A study was initiated to reassess the collection potential of,
and the need for, a proposed (CIA approved) Latin American Base.

SINGLETONS

(Confidential) The Singleton[s] in[()] reported
on station in[()] and firmly established themselves within
their respective embassies and intelligence communities, without delay.

(Secret)

This lead potential, however, was mainly of an S&T character
and, because the emphasis of operations was recalibrated towards the
USSTRICOM/MEAFSA area, S-1 was required to dedicate the major
portion of his endeavor to the research and identification of MEAFSA lead
potentials. Towards the end of the period, headway was being made and
repositories for MEAFSA leads were gradually being identified. During
a lead of definite MEAFSA potential was identified, and S-1 was instructed to proceed with further development.

(Confidential) Administrative action was taken to effect the employment of a US civilian translator for S-1, and a contract for hire of an applicant was approved by the Group Commander. However, the individual was not granted security clearance by the Defense Intelligence Agency for employment within the Defense Attache Office. As an interim measure, the administrative assistant assigned to the European Regional Base has been directed to provide assistance to S-1 as required.

(Secret) had to begin with the establishment of basic contacts. As in the case of S-1, S-2 was advised

The leads were undergoing development at the close of the period.

OPERATIONAL PLANNING AND LEADS DEVELOPMENT

(Unclassified) To provide a data base for the preparation of collection and operational plans, AFNIAD collected 869 items of operational data in 59 general categories and affecting all geographic areas. In addition, copies
of requirements concerning scientific and technical development were requested and filed for future use.

(Seeed) To assist in maintaining the all-important flow of leads, AFNIAAD initiated several new ventures. These included

(Seeed) A staff study was initiated which, although not complete, indicates that there is some value in exploiting act as leads spotters within the Continental US. Also of interest and importance was the acquisition of lists identifying. The possibility of also utilizing the latter group for leads spotting and assessment is the subject of an extensive analytical study.

(Seeed) The above were in addition to Project FINE FILTER, a concept of operations to provide a prediction/warning system for foreign areas where internal/external situations could prove inimical to US interests. In transmitting this proposal to the Defense Intelligence Agency,

5 See Project ROPE, p. 17.

AFNI recommended 7 that copies be disseminated to CIA and selected offices within JCS and DOD, and that approval be granted by 30 April 1967 to permit implementation of the project [REDACTED]. Approval has not yet been received and the project is dormant at this time. The project principals have stated they will attempt to revitalize FINE FILTER if and when DIA obtains statements of community interest [REDACTED].

**TECHNICAL SUPPORT**

(Secret) During this reporting period, the Operations Logistics Branch (AFNIADB) became fully operational in its audio and photographic technical support capability. The photographic section received a wide assortment of 35mm cameras and accessories and is currently equipped to handle black-and-white and color transparencies, and black-and-white printing.

---

7 Ltr AFNIAD 20330 to Director, DIA, Operations Proposal, Project FINE FILTER (U), 16 Feb 67.
(Unclassified) AFNIAD presented a total of 18 major briefings during this period. These were in addition to the numerous "off the cuff" briefings which division personnel were frequently called upon to deliver. The individuals receiving the briefings were, for the most part, high-ranking DOD officials, both military and civilian.
V. OTHER HUMINT ACTIVITIES

AIR ATTACHE AFFAIRS

Service Affiliation of Defense Attaches

SECRET In the continuing problem of service affiliation of defense attaches, AFHIN recommended to DIA that, in its forthcoming review of the Joint Table of Distribution, DIA should realign the present service designations of Defense Attache positions to achieve consistency with the JCS principle that the Defense Attache and the Chief of the MAAG, MAP Mission, or MILGP be of different services. This recommendation derived from a study made by AFXPDIA, approved by General McConnell, and forwarded to AFHIN for implementation of those aspects pertaining to defense attaches. 3

Control of Communications with Attaches

CONFIDENTIAL On the basis of instructions received from DIA, the Air Attache Affairs Branch (AFN ACD) notified Air Staff elements and major air commands that any matter of an intelligence nature to be

1 1127 USAF Fld Acty Gp History, 1 Jul-31 Dec 66, p. 43.
2 Ltr AFNIC to DIADD, Service Affiliation of Defense Attaches, 2 Feb 67.
4 Ltr DIA OMD-20035/CO-2, Communications and Control of DAO Intelligence Activities (S), 16 Mar 67.
5 HQ USAF Daily Staff Digest No. 119, 20 Jun 67.

SECRET
NO FOREIGN DISSEM
referred to a Defense Attache Office must be forwarded to the Defense Intelligence Agency for appropriate action. Except for activities specifically approved for exception by DIA, all subsequent tasking, direction, and guidance of the DAO will be by DIA.  

(Confidential) DIA also proposed a further restriction on communications with attaches -- the elimination of other than DIA privacy systems. In non-concurring with the proposal, AFNIN reaffirmed the requirement for one-time pad (OTP) systems between certain air attaches and HQ USAFE. In addition, emphasis was placed on the fact that the Secretaries of the Military Departments and the Chiefs on the Military Services are authorized privacy communications with their respective service attaches, and the position was taken that this authorization should not be abrogated.

Attache Visitation Program

(Confidential) Because of recent DIA refusals to fund air attaché travel for visits to air component commands, AFNIN protested to DIA its failure to support the Attache Visitation Program.  

7 See below, p. 58.

8 Ltr DIA 1064/CO to Army, Navy, and Air Force, One-Time Pads Presently in the Hands of the Attaches (U), 21 Mar 67.

9 Ltr AFNIA to DIA, One-Time Pads Presently in the Hands of the Attaches (U), 21 Apr 67.

10 DIA 60-5, Defense Attache System (U), 7 Jan 67.

11 Ltr AFNIN to DIA, Air Attaché Visits to USAFE, 11 Apr 67.
the position that periodic visits by attaches to local theater commands continue to enhance efforts and that funding such visits is properly a responsibility of DIA in its support of the attache system. As a result of Air Force efforts, DIA has revised its policy in this regard. Essentially, DIA will fund visits to the unified commands at the beginning of an assignment if travel conditions permit, and, on a case-by-case basis, upon completion of a tour of duty. DIA also will fund trips on an operational basis where service attaches receive support from component commands. Where the commands do not provide this support, DIA normally will request that such travel be funded by the command. DIA expects attaches to take advantage of trips to the command area for other authorized TDY, leave, training, physical examinations, equipment maintenance, etc., to visit the unified and/or component commands.

**Attaché Briefings/Debriefings**

(Unclassified) During this six-month period, AFNIACD briefed 3 officers prior to their departure for station and debriefed 6 officers upon completion of their tours.

---

12 Ltrs U-60096/CO-6 to PACOM, U-60097/CO-6 to USEUCOM, U-60098/CO-6 to USSOUTHCOM, and U-60099/CO-6 to USSTRICOM, Attaché Visits to Unified and Component Commands, 20 April 67. Ltr R-60105/CO-6 to AFNIN, Attaché Visits to Unified and Specified and Component Commands (U), 22 May 67.
(Unclassified) The air attaché briefing and debriefing program has
developed to the extent that a reevaluation is necessary in terms of its
overall value to the USAF. AFNIACD is conducting a survey\(^\text{13}\) of appropriate commands and Air Staff offices, requesting an assessment of the
effectiveness of attaché visits and justification of time, effort, and funds
expended therefor.

(Unclassified) The Tactical Air Command (TAC) asked that favorable
consideration be given to requests of the Deputy Chiefs of Staff, Intelligence,
of the USAF Special Air Warfare Center (SAWC) and the 19th Air Force,
that air attaches designated for assignment to countries in their areas of
interest visit their headquarters for special briefings prior to departing
for stations.\(^\text{14}\) Noting that the Defense Intelligence School (DIS) presently
includes in its curriculum special briefings for air attaché designees going
to these areas, AFNIACD recommended\(^\text{15}\) that, to preclude duplication,
representatives of the SAWC and 19th Air Force meet with members of the
DIS to review and discuss specific areas of the briefings desired by TAC.
AFNIACD also suggested that, if additional TAC briefings are required,
they too be conducted at the DIS. AFNIACD pointed out that utilizing DIS
facilities for TAC briefings would afford the advantage of communicating

13 Ltr AFNIACD, U.S. Air Attache Briefing and Debriefing Program,
28 Apr 67.

14 Ltr TAC (DIRA) to AFNIN, Orientation of Air Attache Designees (U),
15 Mar 67.

15 Ltr AFNIACD to TAC, Orientation of Air Attache Designees, 27 Apr 67.
not only with the air attaché but also with the naval and army attachés and assistants. This would offer broader coverage and would provide information to single-service stations not necessarily manned by air attachés. At TAC's request, AFNIACD arranged a meeting between representatives of 19th Air Force and DIS on 30 June 1967.

Photographic Equipment and Training

(Secret) Following a trip to Europe in the Fall of 1966, Lt. General Glen W. Martin, Inspector General, USAF, reported complaints from several attachés that assigned as well as training received, was unsatisfactory. A: AFNIACD review of the adequacy of revealed that it is adequate to satisfy and that, on a cost effectiveness basis, improved photographic equipment would be impractical at the present time. Under present DIA policies, research and development is designed to respond only to the consumers' complaints on inadequacies. AFNIACD will periodically survey the consumers for their opinions on the quality of and will notify DIA when inadequacies are evident.

16 Memo Lt Gen Martin to General Holzapple, 26 Oct 66.
17 Ltr AFNIACD to AFNIN, Attaché Equipment (U), 2 Feb 67.
Elimination of Air Attache Position in Algeria

(Unclassified) The Office of the US Air Attache to Algeria was closed on 5 June 1967, despite Air Force efforts to retain the position. The US Defense Attache Office, manned by Army and Naval Attaches, will handle USAF matters as required. Appropriate notices have been published to announce the closure.

Possible Closing of USDAO in Malagasy

(Unclassified) DIA informed AFNIN of the possible closing of the US Defense Attache Office in Malagasy -- a single-service (Air Force) station -- and asked for information on USAF representational interests in that country. AFNIACD responded that Air Force representational interests, as distinct from intelligence interests, are insufficient to justify continuation of the station. However, it was pointed out that, in view of the need for US military representation in the Indian Ocean area and STRICOM's needs for increased reporting from the MEAFSA area, it is mandatory that one of the military services continues to be represented in Malagasy, Mozambique, or Kenya. Since Air Force personnel, with aircraft, are already assigned to this station, AFNIACD recommended

18 1127 USAF Fld Acty Gp History, 1 Jul-31 Dec 66, pp. 43-44.

19 HQ USAF Daily Staff Digest No. 109, 6 Jun 67; and The Inspector General, USAF, TIG Brief No. 12, Vol XIX, 23 Jun 67.


SECRET
NO FOREIGN DISSEM
that the station remain open and that Air Force personnel continue to be assigned.

Replacement of USAF Medical Officer in Moscow

(Secret) DIA advised\(^{21}\) that the Department of State, at the request of the Ambassador, had programmed the assignment of a doctor to the American Embassy, Moscow, in 1968 thus relieving the Air Force of this responsibility. The DATT Moscow advised, however, that the Ambassador was personally interested in retaining a military physician.\(^ {22}\) The Air Force requested\(^ {23}\) that DIA again reclama the proposed replacement of the military medical attache by a Foreign Service medical officer. Records available to the Air Force do not support the contention that \[\text{[redacted]}\] detracts from the USAF medical officer's ability to perform his primary function of providing medical care to the embassy staff and dependents. Moreover, the fact that a USAF medical officer is backed up by and has access to the extensive US military medical establishment in Western Europe, as well as the aerospace medical service in the continental United States, enhances appreciably his ability to discharge his medical responsibilities.

\(^{21}\) Ltr DIA XX-0578-67/AD to AFNIN, Replacement for USAF Medical Officer, Moscow (U), 5 Apr 67.

\(^{22}\) Msg USDAO Moscow 0268, 19 Apr 67.

\(^{23}\) Ltr AFNIN to DIA, Replacement of USAF Medical Officer, Moscow (U), 10 May 67.
USDAO Yugoslavia

SECRET DIA asked various military agencies, including AFNIN, FTD, and ACIC, to provide an assessment of the usefulness of the and monthly reports of the Defense Attache Office were reviewed for the period 1 September 1965 to 1 February 1967. These reports revealed that was being efficiently used information vital to the USAF was being provided. AFNIN's response to DIA\(^2\) stated that, in view of the findings that in Yugoslavia serves a useful purpose it should be retained on station.

COUNTERINSURGENCY

CONFIDENTIAL The AFNIN Counterinsurgency (COIN) Working Committee, chaired by the Special Advisory Group (AFNINA), has been concentrating its efforts on a study of the adequacy of, and problems connected with, intelligence collection operations in SEA. Considerations cover all sensors -- ELINT, PHOTINT, HUMINT, etc. To support this project, the AFNIA representative on the committee, Major Thaddeus W. Kallini (AFNIABA), provided AFNINA with data on procedures, channels, policies, and requirements related to HUMINT operations in the area. Committee representatives

24 Msg DIACO-5B 8177, 8 Mar 67.

25 Ltr AFNIACD to DIACO, Analysis of Attache Reporting (U), 30 Mar 67.
met with Dr. Leon Goure, RAND analyst, who will return to Vietnam in August. He will devote his attention to HUMINT problems.

SOURCE CONTROL ACTIVITIES

Registration and Name Trace

(Secret) The Name Registry Branch (AFNIACC) completed 1499 source actions during the period 1 January - 30 June 1967; 672 new sources were registered and 827 sources were dropped.

(Secret) Arrangements were made with the Counterintelligence Division, 4th District OSI, to expedite name trace requests of USAF elements in Southeast Asia. A priority system was established to complete such traces within two weeks.

Automation of Files

(Confidential) In this 6-month period, AFNIACC prepared 29,223 aperture cards, bringing overall automated file holdings to a total of 89,169 cases. A backlog of 42 cubic feet of documents remains to be processed (approximately 50,455 aperture cards), including 175 inactive source files retired to NRB by the 7113 Sp Acty Gp during this reporting period.

26 1127 USAF Fld Acty Gp History, 1 Jul-31 Dec 66, stated (p.47) that AFNIACC had automated 94,047 source files. The difference between that figure and the one now reported is the result of an intensive screening and reduction effort.
(Unclassified) The machine capability of AFNIACC has been improved by the installation of newer equipment. This equipment, however, will soon be overloaded because of the many new requirements being levied on AFNIACC. Therefore, a more sophisticated system (EAM) is being programmed to meet anticipated future needs.

(Secret) The requirement that all correspondence with attaches be channeled through DIA\textsuperscript{27} has had little impact insofar as are concerned. Although amplifying instructions pertaining to correspondence on\textsuperscript{28} require pro forma observance of the "through channel," in actual fact they permit direct correspondence between AFNIACC and air attaches.

6499 Spt Gp Inspection

(Secret) At the request of the Commander, 6499 Spt Gp,\textsuperscript{29} Mr. Faran A. R. McClimans, Chief of AFNIACC, made a staff visit to the 6499th, 22-26 June 1967. The purpose of this visit was to inspect the functions

\textsuperscript{27} See above, p. 49.

\textsuperscript{28} Ltr DIA GNCB-24816/CO-2; to AFNIC, Communications and Control of DAO Intelligence Activities (U), 15 Jun 67.

\textsuperscript{29} Ltr, 6499 Spt Gp to AFNIACC, Request for Inspection Assistance (U), 9 Jun 67.
and procedures of the Group's source control element, and to review its operations with a view toward standardization, retirement, and retrieval of [redacted] files. Mr. [redacted] inspection in effect supplemented one conducted by the PACAF IG office.

REQUIREMENTS AND COLLECTION GUIDES

SICR Activity

(Unclassified) SICR activity was lively during this reporting period.

Air Force production elements generated 214 SICRs: FTD-115; ACIC-91; Others-8. Air Force SICR's validated by DIA numbered 204; non-validations numbered 19. In addition to the above, the Requirements Section (AFNIABD1) received from DIA 310 SICRs of Air Force interest on the Soviet Bloc, Chicom, MEAFSA, and SE Asia areas. Cancellations totalled 596, of which 307 were Air Force SICRs. At the end of the reporting period, AFNIABD1 had on file a total of 1056 active SICRs, including 513 which were Air Force-originated.

(Unclassified) A significant improvement in the Knowledgeability Brief (KB) Program was experienced during the first half of 1967, following the issuance of a new procedural instruction. Under the improved procedures, KBs on all sources, worldwide, are automatically distributed to

30 DIAI 58-31, Military Interrogation, Debriefing, and Exploitation (U), 16 Jul 66.
all production elements. SICR responses from the entire intelligence community have resulted in more effective exploitation of, and a greater intelligence "take" from, these sources.

Collection Guides

(Confidential) The Staff Manual for Observation-Description-Reporting (SMODREP), designed to provide the [redacted] with a ready reference for missile, aircraft, and electronic recognition, continues to be updated by the Guidance Data Research Section (AFNIABD2). Though originally directed towards East European target areas, the manual is now being made applicable to Southeast Asia by translating Part III into the languages of that area.

(Confidential) A new Country Folder Program (CFP) has been initiated by AFNIABD2 to assist AFNIAA and AFNIAD in planning their operations. Basically, the country folders are divided into five subdivisions:

(1) Requirements; (2) Political/Economic Conditions; (3) Armed Force/Insurgency Conditions; (4) Collection Deterrents; (5) Operational Data.

[redacted] are screened for information in the aforementioned categories. The collected information is then summarized and submitted to the requester as a Country Collection Brief (CCB). Folders are now being prepared for AFNIAD on MEAFSA area countries.
PERSONNEL RESOURCE MANAGEMENT (PROJECT TOP SCORE)

Selective Manning and Excepted Service

(Unclassified) The first half of 1967 saw two major achievements towards which the TOP SCORE effort has been aimed since its inception 2-1/2 years ago. These achievements represent developments in both policies and procedures which were deemed vital to full-scale implementation of the TOP SCORE objective -- that all assignments, reassignments, or employment of officer, enlisted, or civilian personnel be undertaken systematically, based upon the requirements of the mission, professional development, selective employment/assignment, quality control factors, and personal desires. They constitute, in the main, the Air Force's response to requirements outlined by the DIA and the DOD for special personnel management controls and professional development in the HUMINT field.

(Unclassified) The first was the establishment of selective manning for the overseas HUMINT units (6499 Spt Gp and 7113 Sp Acty Gp). This action was necessitated by the fundamental objectives of TOP SCORE, i.e., long-range assignment planning to include extensive periods of training or preparation, movement within and between HUMINT units, and occasional rotation to other Air Force intelligence activity. In practical terms, without selective manning it was impossible to make definite plans to acquire and assign the rare and often expensively trained human source collector.
The advent of selective manning so brought about a centralized, consolidated manning point for all HUMINT activities, thus eliminating a competition of sorts which was neither necessary nor desirable. The TOP SCORE Monitor, by possession of special informational inputs from all HUMINT units, is in a position to provide a continuous flow of high quality guidance to the Air Force Military Personnel Center (AFMPC) on all matters pertaining to personnel resources in HUMINT. Selective manning was approved in June 1967 but, because of the normal lag in personnel assignment programming, its effects will not be felt in the field until early 1968. Final details concerning division of responsibilities between AFMPC and AFNIN were being resolved as of the end of the reporting period.

(Unclassified) A parallel achievement was the establishment of Excepted Service for Department of Air Force civilians in GS-132 series HUMINT positions. The special skills and experience required for many of these civilian positions presented a difficult, if not impossible, task to those responsible for acquiring qualified civilian help. Conventional, i.e., Competitive, Civil Service hiring procedures simply were not adequate to this task and, over a period of years, special exceptions granted by personnel authorities proved to be more commonplace than the normal methods. The immediate effects will be to ease problems of intra-system job swaps and other transfers, and to permit the hiring of qualified former military members who have appropriate intelligence experience but who could not meet the generalized requirements of the Competitive Service.
The procedures developed for TOP SCORE qualify control of military personnel engaged in HUMINT will be equally applicable to civilian personnel, inasmuch as most civilian positions have the same security and performance requirements as their military counterparts.

Permissive TDY for Prospective HUMINT Personnel

(Unclassified) Under the procedures outlined in AFMs 36-11 and 39-11, the TOP SCORE Advisory Section (AFNIABC5) continued to receive a flow of applications from both inexperienced and experienced personnel. The section is supported in the field interview phase by Operations Division (AFNIAA) field representatives, by former HUMINT members elsewhere in the Air Force, and by elements of both overseas HUMINT units. To facilitate travel by an interviewee to the site of the interview, AFNIABC5 sought and received approval to utilize permissive TDY under authority of AFR 35-26. 31

Acquisition of Specially Qualified Personnel

(Unclassified) At the request of the 6499th and PACAF, AFNIABC5 initiated a personnel data system inquiry on officer personnel with various academic fields of specialization and/or language qualifications. The products provided by AFMPC included two large machine readouts concerning language and area studies groupings and a group of career briefs on those

31 2d Ind, USAFMPC (AFPMSDM), 8 May 67, to ltr AFNIACB5, Amendment of AFR 35-26, 21 Apr 67, serves as interim authority until publication of the next change to the regulation.
officers whose records indicated both high language proficiency and an academic specialization in one of the desired areas. This data will be further processed to allow for direct communication with selected individuals to invite them for interviews and to provide operational planners with a picture of how the personnel data system may be utilized for long-range acquisitions of unusually qualified personnel.

**Mechanized Updating of TOP SCORE Dossiers**

(Unclassified) During this period a continuous effort was underway to expand, update, purify, and mechanize to the greatest extent possible all dossier-type material in AFNIABC5 holdings. By arrangement with USAFE and the 7113th, we began receiving mechanized personnel data system inputs, duplicating the data available on the 7113th for our planning purposes. The same request was made of PACAF and the 6499th but, because of differences in organizational structure and CBPO management in PACAF, the mechanized input, while valuable when submitted, will not be updated as is the material on the 7113th until such time as new agreements are made with PACAF.

**Requisitioning and Manning for 6499th and 7113th**

(Unclassified) At the end of this reporting period AFNIABC5 is anticipating a marked increase in workload as preparations are begun to requisition for and man all HJ/MINT spaces in the 7113th and 6499th. We have requested the 6499th and 7113th to designate a personnel specialist
(732xx) to remain on the UMD of each unit but to be assigned to Fort Belvoir to participate in the servicing of the respective units.

**TOP SCORE Newsletter**

(Unclassified) Because of the many questions received from individuals and officials within the HUMINT community, AFNIABC5 has begun publishing a TOP SCORE Newsletter. The Newsletter will provide a vehicle for direct, rapid communication to advise of latest developments, changes in procedures, etc., and to answer queries by respondents. The first issue was published on 12 May 1967 and was disseminated to all operating units and Air Staff elements involved in HUMINT activities.
VI. MISCELLANEOUS

AWARDS AND DECORATIONS

(Unclassified) Major General Rollem H. Anthis, Commander, Headquarters Command, USAF, presented the Air Force Outstanding Unit Award\(^1\) to the 1127 USAF Fld Acty Gp in a memorable ceremony held at Bolling Air Force Base on 30 June 1967. The award was in recognition of Group HUMINT collection and support activities during the period 1 January 1964 to 31 December 1965.

(Secret/No Foreign Dissem) The Air Force Outstanding Unit Award also was given to the Office of the Air Attache, Vientiane, Laos (Detachment 72, 1133 USAF Special Activities Squadron). The award, covering the period 1 August 1965 to 31 July 1966, was in recognition of OAirA activities in support of Royal Laotian Air Force counterinsurgency operations against the Pathet Lao.

(Unclassified) Appendix 3 is a list of decorations processed for personnel of the 1127 USAF Fld Acty Gp and the 1133 USAF Sp Acty Sq during the period 1 January - 30 June 1967.

COMMUNICATIONS

(Confidential) The Group Communications Center (AFNIACA2) continued to provide full, 24-hour communications coverage with but 75 percent of authorized operator strength. (Two operators rotated overseas

\(^{1}\) Special Order GB-83, Department of the Air Force, Washington, D. C., 3 Mar 67
and only one was replaced.) The situation will continue until September 1967. During this period, the center processed a total of 15,688 messages (6,159 messages transmitted and 9,529 messages received), an increase of 7.6 percent over that reported for the preceding period.²

² 1127 USAF Fld Acty Gp History, 1 Jul - 31 Dec 66, p. 50.
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ROSTER OF KEY PERSONNEL

as of 30 June 1967

Commander
Executive Officer
Sergeant Major

Colonel Rudolph C. Koller, Jr.
Mr. Louis J. Micka, Jr.
TSGt Curtis M. Hubble

Chief, Operations Division
Chief, Operations Support Branch
Chief, Staff Operations Branch
Chief, Field Operations Branch

Lt Colonel Roy B. Powell
Lt Colonel Julius M. Blum
Lt Colonel George Matecko
Lt Colonel Donald W. Hudson

Chief, Programs Division
Chief, Policy Branch
Chief, Evasion and Escape Branch
Chief, War Programs Branch
Chief, Requirement & Research Branch

Lt Colonel Louis A. Gomes
Major Charles B. Copas
Major James W. Westbrook
Lt Colonel Michael M. Jelich
Lt Colonel Matthew J. Foley

Chief, Support Services Division
Chief, Administrative Support Branch
Chief, Personnel Branch
Chief, Name Registry Branch
Chief, Air Attache Affairs Branch

Colonel John J. Burnett
CWO James E. Gillikin, Jr.
Lt Colonel George M. Carter
Mr. Faran A. R. Mcclimans
Major Raymond W. Kahl, Jr.

Chief, Plans Division
Chief, Special Projects Branch
Chief, Operations Logistics Branch
Chief, Operations Plans Branch

Lt Colonel William J. Mandros
Lt Colonel John F. Farnham
CWO Jean R. Henson
Major Nyle C. Neumann
APPENDIX 3

LIST OF DECORATIONS

1 January - 30 June 1967

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RANK</th>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>DECORATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Colonel</td>
<td>Asch, Al red</td>
<td>LM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colonel</td>
<td>Fox, Edward J</td>
<td>LM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colonel</td>
<td>Gordon, Benjamin</td>
<td>LM(1 OLC)(Posthumous)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colonel</td>
<td>Leland, Edwin S</td>
<td>LM(1 OLC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colonel</td>
<td>Mahon, James E</td>
<td>LM(1 OLC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colonel</td>
<td>Mamaris, Solon</td>
<td>AFCM(2 OLC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colonel</td>
<td>Nicholas, Jack D</td>
<td>LM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colonel</td>
<td>Pullen, Howard V</td>
<td>LM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colonel</td>
<td>Ross, Richard H</td>
<td>AFCM(2 OLC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colonel</td>
<td>Vandiver, Robert S</td>
<td>LM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colonel</td>
<td>Winter, Hugh</td>
<td>JSCM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lt Colonel</td>
<td>Barnett, John R</td>
<td>BSM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lt Colonel</td>
<td>Bowen, Robert W</td>
<td>AFCM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lt Colonel</td>
<td>Brobeck, Charles B</td>
<td>LM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lt Colonel</td>
<td>Burt, Joseph A</td>
<td>JSCM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lt Colonel</td>
<td>Carey, Verne W</td>
<td>JSCM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lt Colonel</td>
<td>Carter, John D</td>
<td>AFCM(1 OLC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lt Colonel</td>
<td>Evans, Jack T</td>
<td>JSCM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lt Colonel</td>
<td>Foreman, Charles A</td>
<td>AFCM(2 OLC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lt Colonel</td>
<td>Geisen, Kenneth E</td>
<td>JSCM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lt Colonel</td>
<td>Howard, Kent P</td>
<td>JSCM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lt Colonel</td>
<td>Jelich, Michael M</td>
<td>BSM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lt Colonel</td>
<td>Katz, Norman F</td>
<td>BSM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lt Colonel</td>
<td>Mareydt, Albert P</td>
<td>JSCM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lt Colonel</td>
<td>Rieker, Thomas H</td>
<td>JSCM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lt Colonel</td>
<td>Sullivan, Donald E</td>
<td>AFCM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lt Colonel</td>
<td>Turoff, Christopher G</td>
<td>JSCM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rank</td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Classification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major</td>
<td>Birnkammer, Jack A</td>
<td>BSM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major</td>
<td>Blumer, John F</td>
<td>BSM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major</td>
<td>Buss, Robert H</td>
<td>AM(3 OLC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major</td>
<td>Copas, Charles B</td>
<td>AFCM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major</td>
<td>Emmerich, John T</td>
<td>AFCM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major</td>
<td>Finch, Jefferson R</td>
<td>AM(1&amp;2 OLC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major</td>
<td>Gemmill, William B</td>
<td>AFCM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major</td>
<td>Hrabko, William</td>
<td>AFCM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major</td>
<td>Hull, Robert L</td>
<td>AFCM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major</td>
<td>Mulkey, Jerold G</td>
<td>AFCM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major</td>
<td>Paine, Augustus H</td>
<td>AFCM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major</td>
<td>Rafferty, Robert F</td>
<td>AFCM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major</td>
<td>Rex, Norman H</td>
<td>AFCM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major</td>
<td>Rudewick, William K</td>
<td>AFCM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major</td>
<td>Sanders, Hyland G</td>
<td>AFCM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major</td>
<td>Seibel, Conrad H</td>
<td>JSCM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major</td>
<td>Stimson, James S</td>
<td>AFCM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Captain</td>
<td>Allison, Kenneth G</td>
<td>AFCM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Captain</td>
<td>Barram, John S</td>
<td>AFCM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Captain</td>
<td>Bastian, T W</td>
<td>AFCM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Captain</td>
<td>Bromiley, William R</td>
<td>BSM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Captain</td>
<td>Christensen, Ray E</td>
<td>BSM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Captain</td>
<td>Holowinski, B A</td>
<td>JSCM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Captain</td>
<td>Kelso, Joseph M</td>
<td>AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Captain</td>
<td>Kowalewski, Casimir L</td>
<td>AFCM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Captain</td>
<td>Miller, Ronald E</td>
<td>AFCM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Captain</td>
<td>O'Neal, Quentin F Jr</td>
<td>AFCM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Captain</td>
<td>Paulson, Robert L</td>
<td>AFCM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Captain</td>
<td>Schornak, Thomas R</td>
<td>AFCM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st Lt</td>
<td>Consolva, Charles W Jr</td>
<td>BSM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st Lt</td>
<td>Geasa, Francis X Jr</td>
<td>BSM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st Lt</td>
<td>Greene, Mark D</td>
<td>AFCM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st Lt</td>
<td>Penrod, John L</td>
<td>AFCM(1 OLC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st Lt</td>
<td>Securda, Kerrick C</td>
<td>BSM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st Lt</td>
<td>Williamson, Fred H Jr</td>
<td>BSM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMSgt</td>
<td>Buntenbah, Clarence</td>
<td>AFCM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMSgt</td>
<td>Clark, Jerrol R</td>
<td>AFCM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMSgt</td>
<td>Hess, James P</td>
<td>AFCM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMSgt</td>
<td>Snively, Jackie L</td>
<td>JSCM</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Atch #1
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Agency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SMSgt</td>
<td>Lehane, Harold J</td>
<td>AFCM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMSgt</td>
<td>Pierce, Charles D</td>
<td>JSCM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSGt</td>
<td>Jacobs, Thomas C</td>
<td>AFCM(1 OLC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSGt</td>
<td>Linton, Elwin D</td>
<td>AFCM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSGt</td>
<td>Lorenzo, Walter</td>
<td>AFCM(1 OLC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSGt</td>
<td>Manceaux, Abray J</td>
<td>AFCM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSGt</td>
<td>Mathews, George B J</td>
<td>AFCM(1 OLC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSGt</td>
<td>Moon, Joe H</td>
<td>AFCM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSGt</td>
<td>Mosley, Clinton R</td>
<td>AFCM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSGt</td>
<td>Rios, Leonard R</td>
<td>AFCM(1 OLC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSGt</td>
<td>Tolone, Roosevelt L</td>
<td>AFCM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TSgt</td>
<td>Coen, John O</td>
<td>AFCM(1 OLC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TSgt</td>
<td>Green, Vernon</td>
<td>AFCM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TSgt</td>
<td>Hosmer, Richard R</td>
<td>AFCM(1 OLC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TSgt</td>
<td>Hugo, A Edward</td>
<td>AFCM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TSgt</td>
<td>James, Buell L</td>
<td>AFCM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TSgt</td>
<td>Johnson, Charles R</td>
<td>AFCM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TSgt</td>
<td>Lamb, Larry D</td>
<td>AFCM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TSgt</td>
<td>Marineau, Donald E</td>
<td>JSCM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TSgt</td>
<td>Newman, Charles E</td>
<td>AFCM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TSgt</td>
<td>Stepien, Edward S Sr</td>
<td>AFCM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TSgt</td>
<td>White, John M</td>
<td>AFCM(1 OLC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSgt</td>
<td>Dobbs, Freddie R</td>
<td>AFCM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSgt</td>
<td>Drew, Francis X</td>
<td>JSCM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSgt</td>
<td>Eisenhart, Thomas</td>
<td>AFCM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSgt</td>
<td>Flint, John J</td>
<td>AFCM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSgt</td>
<td>Greer, Owen H</td>
<td>AFCM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSgt</td>
<td>Johnson, Harold L</td>
<td>AFCM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSgt</td>
<td>Kellerman, William J</td>
<td>AFCM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSgt</td>
<td>Mackey, Arnold</td>
<td>JSCM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSgt</td>
<td>Quayle, J.rry L</td>
<td>AFCM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSgt</td>
<td>Weidemann, James D</td>
<td>AFCM(1 OLC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSgt</td>
<td>Williams, Harold Jr</td>
<td>AFCM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A1C</td>
<td>Fletcher, David F</td>
<td>AFCM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A1C</td>
<td>Shakley, William E</td>
<td>AFCM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rank</td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Country/Decoration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colonel</td>
<td>Ahalt, Roy M Jr</td>
<td>Morocco—4th Rank Officer of the Order of Quissam Alaoute Certifién</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colonel</td>
<td>Nance, Ernest T</td>
<td>Spain—Cross of Aeronautical Merit 2d Class</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major</td>
<td>Birnkammer, Jack A</td>
<td>RVN—Staff Service Honor Medal, 1st Class</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Captain</td>
<td>Kollinzas, Constantine</td>
<td>RVN—Armed Forces Honor Medal, 1st Class</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Captain</td>
<td>Kollinzas, Constantine</td>
<td>RVN—Medal of Gallantry w/Palm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Captain</td>
<td>Kollinzas, Constantine</td>
<td>RVN—Gallantry Cross w/Gold Star</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>