1. What are the verification problem areas?
   a) Coursed -
   b) Backfire -
   c) Modulation (acceces)
   d) Counter -

2. Where have some cut corners in fact?

3. How many of the power systems 55-11 a
   Yankee class will Forrest have to cut?

4. Is it correct that until 1972 we will
   not have to make any reduction in order
   to use 12D then bombs. Do we have a
   free ride?

5. Cold launch?

6. Can we fabricate technology - p.34

7. I don't understand. - p.37

8. How important is data box for vertical
   /horizontal on conventional armed cruiser
   Tony banks p.35

   Can we say that are consulted many
   + That all have access to armed units? p.36

   p.72

   Do all B-52's cout - yes
   Do we count all B-52's now? Yes
   YFB-111 is still in combat
   Y 51/21 wingment in Backer
1) Would establish equal aggression at lower cost
2) Would reverse trend toward larger strategic forces
3) Would put significant overall limits on Soviet forces
   
   Then 1981
   
4) Put important limits on MIRV'd 1200's.
   The most threatening gul of Soviet force

Launder definition

Regarded as equal to 200 cruise missiles of toehold.

Cruise missiles in excess of 5500 km.

Soviet concession:

1) Submit in MIRV'd 1200's - 820
2) " MIRV'd missile tilers 1320. 12 (20)
3) Agree to move to 1969 exchange verification of
   MIR's 11m. 17. Boston & London.
4) Agree to bar on new MIRV'd 1200's.
5) " not to limit all cruise bombs on
   same basis as MIRV'd missiles.
6) Agreed to deposit of 200 cruise missiles in
   Kursk nuclear边界.
7) To count DDC' missiles 120 in 820 submission.
8) Agree would require them to take down at
   least 300 systems.
9) Agree to qualitative constraints - agreed to lead
    new systems (8.4k)