PR 181594Z JAN 68
FM USMISSION NATO
TO SECSTATE WASHDC PRIORITY 1043
SECDEF WASHDC
INFO AMBASSACY BONN 0314
AMBASSACY BRUSSELS 0268
USMISSION GENEVA 071
AMBASSACY THE HAGUE 0233
AMBASSACY LONDON 0331
AMBASSACY LUXEMBOURG 0165
AMBASSACY MOSCOW 065
AMBASSACY OTTAWA 0222
AMBASSACY PARIS 0341
AMBASSACY ROME 0261
USUN NEW YORK 0116
AMBASSACY VIENNA 035
ALL NATO CAPITALS

SECRET SECTION 1 OF 2 USNATO 01393
CORRECTED COPY

PAGE 2 RUFINA 1393/SECRET
NATUS/DISTO/BUSEC/IAEA
SECRET

PAGE 02 NATO 01393 01 OF 02 190419Z

SUBJECT: NAC JANUARY 18 - DRAFT NPT

REF: USNATO 1378

1. AT SPECIAL NAC JANUARY 18, CLEVELAND BRIFED ALLIES ON BASIS STATE 100558 AND DISTRIBUTED TEXT GENEVA 2290. PRIOR TO MEETING, CLEVELAND BRIFED GREWE (FRG) AND DE FERRARI (ITALY) AND USNATO STAFF LOBBIED WITH BROSIO AS WELL AS UK, CANADIAN, BELGIAN, AND DUTCH DELGATIONS.

2. CLEVELAND REPORTED THAT SOVIETS HAVE JUST AGREED IN GENEVA TO TABLE AFTERNOON JANUARY 18 COMPLETE DRAFT NPT, INCLUDING ARTICLE III. HIS UNDERSTANDING OF STATUS WAS THAT DRAFT TEXT WAS BEING SUBMITTED FOR INTERNATIONAL NEGOTIATIONS BY TWO CO-CHAIRMEN. WE HAD MADE ABUNDANTLY CLEAR TO SOVIETS TEXT INCLUDED MANY POINTS TO PROTECT OUR ALLIES WHICH THEY HAD MADE TO US DURING OUR CONSULTATIONS. IT WAS EQUALLY CLEAR OUR ALLIES WERE NOT COMMITTED TO PRESENT TEXT AS RESULT OF SUCH CONSULTATIONS.

3. IF THIS WERE FINAL TEXT, US COULD SIGN IT. HOWEVER, WE WERE ALSO FREE TO JOIN OTHERS IN IMPROVING TEXT IN LIGHT OF NEGOTIATIONS IN ENCC. IN YOUR SENSE, TEXT WAS NOT FINAL.

4. IN OUR VIEW, THIS WAS MAJOR STEP SINCE IT NOW PERMITTED ALL GOVERNMENTS TO CONSIDER COMPLETE TEXT. WE BELIEVED NEGOTIATORS HAD DONE WELL ON BEHALF OF POSITIONS ALLIES HAD TAKEN.

5. CLEVELAND THEN WENT THROUGH TEXT ARTICLE BY ARTICLE. IN PREAMBLE, HE NOTED PARA 6 SUPPORTED AUTOMATED SAFEGUARDS WHICH HAD BEEN URGED BY FRG. PARRAS 7 AND 8 AFFIRMED THAT ALL SHOULD HAVE EASY ACCESS TO PEACEFUL NUCLEAR TECHNOLOGY. PARRAS 9-11 CONCERN STEPS TO HALT NUCLEAR ARMS RACE, WHICH WERE OF SPECIAL INTEREST TO NON-NUCLEAR-WEAPON STATES.

6. ON OPERATIVE ARTICLES, CLEVELAND CONCENTRATED ON ARTICLE
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III. HE POINTED OUT THAT THIS WAS NOVEMBER 2 FORMULA WITH SOME IN NAC HAD VIGOROUSLY CHAMPIONED AND OTHERS HAD FOUND LEAST OBJECTIONABLE WAY OF SAYING WHAT HAD TO BE SAID. DRAWING ON STATE 100559 AND GENEVA 2273, HE GAVE NEGOTIATING HISTORY (OMITTING REFERENCE TO NOVEMBER 2 TALKING POINTS). CLEVELAND READ NEW SENTENCE TO BE ADDED TO FIRST OF THREE US INTERPRETIVE PRINCIPLES IN NOVEMBER 13 AIDE MEMOIRE. PURPOSE WAS TO ASSURE SOVIETS AND ALLIES THAT US UNDERSTOOD NATURE OF SAFEGUARDS WOULD BE EVEN-HANDED AND NON-DISCRIMINATORY. CLEVELAND ALSO NOTED PARA 4 OF ARTICLE III QUALIFIED EUNATOM AS IAEA'S PARTNER IN SAFEGUARDS, AND REAFFIRMED US WOULD MAKE INTERPRETIVE STATEMENT TO THIS EFFECT.

7. ARTICLE IV. A MEXICAN SUGGESTION WHICH RECEIVED WIDE NAC SUPPORT. HE NOTED, REITERATED IDEA IN PREAMBLE CONCERNING FREEDOM OF ACCESS TO PEACEFUL NUCLEAR TECHNOLOGY. ARTICLES V, VI AND VII, HE RECALLED, WERE ALSO MEXICAN SUGGESTIONS WHICH HAD NOT BEEN CONTROVERSIAL IN NAC. ARTICLE VI, HE POINTED OUT, REITERATED PREAMBULAR IDEA OF ENDING NUCLEAR ARMS RACE AND WORKING TOWARDS DISARMAMENT.
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8. ARTICLE VIII RETAINED ROLE FOR IAEA BOARD OF GOVERNORS RATHER THAN APPLYING QUALITATIVE STANDARD AS ITALY SUGGESTED (USNATO 1337). ONE FEATURE OF THIS ARTICLE WAS THAT NO AMENDMENT WOULD APPLY TO A COUNTRY WHICH DID NOT ACCEPT IT. CLEVELAND NOTED SOVIETS HAD ADDED "OF THE AMENDMENT" TO SECOND SENTENCE OF PARA 2 OF ARTICLE VIII AND WE HAD ACCEPTED AS PART OF PACKAGE. IN ANY EVENT, THIS WAS NO RPT NO SUBSTANTIVE CHANGE. RE PARA 3 OF ARTICLE VIII, A REVIEW CONFERENCE WOULD BE HELD AFTER FIVE YEARS. CLEVELAND SAID US WOULD HAVE PREFERRED PERIODIC REVIEW CONFERENCE, AND WOULD CONTINUE PRESS THIS WITH SOVIETS.

9. ARTICLE IX CONTAINED 40 AS NUMBER OF RATIFYING STATES NECESSARY TO HAVE TREATY ENTER INTO FORCE RATHER THAN HIGH
SECRET

NUMBER SUGGESTED BY ITALY. HE RECALLED REASONS FOR THIS WHICH HE OUTLINE YESTERDAY. (USNATO 1377)

10. ARTICLE X, PARA 2, CLEVELAND POINTED OUT, CONTAINED MAJOR CHANGE OF LIMITING DURATION TO 25 YEARS. WHILE US, FOR ITS PART, FAVORED UNLIMITED DURATION, WE HAD BEEN INDUCED, AS RESULT OF WIDE-SPREAD SUPPORT AMONG ALLIES FOR LIMITED DURATION, TO PRESS SOVIETS ON THIS POINT AS WELL. CLEVELAND RECALLED THAT AT ONE STAGE THIS ARTICLE HAD A PROVISION FOR EASY WITHDRAWAL AFTER 25 YEARS. SOVIETS ARGUED TREATY DID NOT NEED TWO WITHDRAWAL CLAUSES AND, AS PART OF PACKAGE, WE AGREED.

11. CAMPBELL (CANADA) CHARACTERIZED TABLELING OF COMPLETE NPT DRAFT AS MAJOR NEGOTIATION ACHIEVEMENT BY US. HE SAID IT IS "REMARKABLE DEMONSTRATION OF CONSTRUCTIVE USE OF NAC IN PREPARING FOR NEGOTIATIONS WITH USSR". HE SAID NEW DRAFT REPRESENTED COMPROMISE BY THE CO-CHAIRMEN AS WELL AS SIGNIFICANT COMPROMISE BETWEEN NUCLEARS AND NON-NUCLEAR.

12. CAMPBELL NOTED THERE ALMOST TWO MONTHS BEFORE ENDC HAD TO REPORT TO UNGA ON MARCH 15, WHICH WOULD ALLOW TIME FOR FULL CONSIDERATION AND REVIEW OF NEW TEXT IN GENEVA WHICH NOW NECESSARY. CANADA WOULD BE GUIDED BY TWO PRINCIPLES DURING ENDC REVIEW: 1) PROPOSALS WITH WIDE SUPPORT IN ENDC OR SUBMITTED FROM OUTSIDE SHOULD RECIEVE
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FULL CONSIDERATION TO BD CONVERSELY, PROPOSALS FAVORED BY
FEW SHOULD BE TREATED ACCORDINGLY, OTHERWISE THEY WOULD
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DIMINISH EFFORT TO ACHIEVE AGREED NPT. IN CONCLUSION CAMPBELL SAID THAT STATES SHOULD NOT NOW REITERATE KNOWN POSITIONS BUT ENGAGE IN A CONSTRUCTIVE EFFORT TOWARD RECONCILING NARROWING SMALL NUMBER REMAINING DIFFERENCES.

13. KRISTIANSEN (NORWAY) ASSOCIATED HIMSELF WITH CAMPBELL'S COMMENTS, AND WARMLY WELCOMED "THIS NEW AND IMPORTANT STEP TOWARD MAKING THE NPT A REALITY".

14. GREWE (FRG) APPRECIATED US BRIEFING ON THIS IMPORTANT NEXT STEP IN ENDC NEGOTIATIONS, NOTED, HOWEVER, THAT THERE NO TIME FOR NAC CONSULTATION ON THIS TEXT. GREWE WAS CERTAIN FRG WOULD "NOTE WITH SATISFACTION" THAT SOVIETS ACCEPTED NOVEMBER 2 FORMULA, SAID NEW NPT TEXT WOULD HAVE TO BE EXAMINED CLOSELY, WITH SPECIAL ATTENTION.

PAGE 3 RUHNA 1393/2 S E C R E T
PAID TO NEW INTERPRETIVE SENTENCE TO BE ADDED TO FIRST OF US INTERPRETIVE PRINCIPLES TO DETERMINE ITS MEANING WITH RESPECT TO SOVIET ACCEPTANCE NOVEMBER 2 FORMULA.

15. GREWE SAID FRG POSITION IS WELL-KNOWN AND IT "DOES NOT COINCIDE WITH ALL PARTS OF TEXT". HE NOTED, HOWEVER, THAT TABLING WOULD BE ON BASIS OF NO COMMITMENT. IN ANY EVENT, HE KNEW FRG WOULD WELCOME CHANCE FOR NON-ALIGNED TO COMMENT ON FULL TEXT, BUT HOPE SUCH COMMENTS "WOULD BE WEIGHTED AND NOT COUNTED".

16. QUAADE (DENMARK) ASSOCIATED HIMSELF WITH CONGRATULATORY STATEMENTS.

17. BURROWS (UK) EXTENDED CONGRATULATIONS AND HOPED FULL TEXT WOULD LEAD TO PROGRESS IN ENDC. HE SAID UK CONSIDERS NOVEMBER 2 FORMULA AS WHOLE PROVIDES POSSIBILITY FOR NEGOTIATION AND AGREEMENT THAT WOULD LEAVE TO IAEA TASK OF VERIFICATION OF EURATOM SAFEGUARDS AND THEREFORE, IN UK VIEW, NOVEMBER 2 LANGUAGE MEETS ALLIED CONCERNS.
18. On duration, Burrows noted there two compromises: 
A) limiting duration to 25 years; and B) deleting second 
withdrawal proposal. UK viewed this as reasonable compro-
mise, with sacrifice of latter as small payment for Sov 
agreement to treaty limited to 25 years. Therefore, UK 
could support duration article.

19. Deferrariis (Italy) was not in position to engage in 
detailed discussion of text but joined in appreciation of 
US efforts along road leading to agreement on NPT. He 
believed this text "enables us to continue our task, 
leading to success." He noted that points he made in NAC 
yesterday (USNATO 1477) not made in this text but he would 
not discuss details today. He only wished express appre-
ciation for US efforts and hope this text would carry 
NPT Project forward.

20. Destaercke (Belgium) thought text considerable improve-
ment in terms of what Gob seeking. Noted Cleveland comment 
that tabling on no commitment basis. Felt this good

21. Schaus (Luxembourg) joined in congratulations and 
expressed GOL satisfaction that November 2 formula accepted.

22. Seydoux (France) associated himself with thanks extended 
for "interesting and complete" Cleveland statement. GOF 
position on NPT well-known and 
not necessary to repeat. 
"As a personal opinion I would like to state that I have 
followed with great attention the way NAC has been informed 
and consulted by our US colleague, as well as the efforts 
of the co-chairmen, to achieve a common position."
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23. BROSIO SUMMED UP AS PER USNATO 1378.

24. CLEVELAND EXPRESSED THANKS ON BEHALF USG FOR APPRECIATIVE COMMENTS MADE ABOUT US EFFORTS TO FULLY CONSULT IN NAC DURING COURSE NEGOTIATION OF NPT. HE
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ALSO REQUESTED THAT TEXT BE TREATED CONFIDENTIALLY UNTIL MADE PUBLIC.

25. COMMENT: ADVANCE NOTICE (DESCRIBED PARA ONE ABOVE) HAD ENABLED GREWE AND DE FERRARIIS TO GIVE THEIR CAPTAINS ONE HOUR NOTICE ON WHAT WOULD HAPPEN AT SPECIAL NAC MEETING. GREWE USED THIS TO GET COMPARATIVELY FORTHCOMING REACTION REPORTED ABOVE. DE FERRARIIS GOT NO REACTION PRIOR TO MEETING, AND HIS COMPARATIVELY UPBEAT REMARKS WERE SOLO FLIGHT. LATER IN DAY, DE FERRARIIS REPORTED THAT ITALIAN FONOFF IN STATE OF SHOCK AT SUDDEN TARTLING, AND THAT HE HAD HAD "STRONG REACTION." HE REQUESTED, AND I SUPPLIED, DETAILED NEGOTIATING HISTORY, INCLUDING REMAINDERS OF BROSIO SUMMATIONS IN PREVIOUS BENCHMARK NAC MEETINGS ON NPT, AND FACT (WHICH HE DID NOT KNOW) THE (ACCORDING TO GENEVA 2280) FISHER AND TOZZOLI HAD DISCUSSED TABLING QUESTION LAST TUESDAY DURING WESTERN FOUR MEETING, EVEN BEFORE US KNEW JUST WHAT SOVS WOULD BE WILLING TO DO. END COMMENT.
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