

April 4, 1989

CERTIFIED MAIL RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

Ann Snuggs
Chief, Operations Staff
Information Access Branch
Information and Privacy Staff, Room 1239
Department of State
2201 C Street N.W.
Washington, D.C., 20520

RE: Freedom of Information Act Request Ref. # 8901032

Dear Ms. Snuggs:

Thank you for your quick response to my FOIA request. This letter is in reference to my Freedom of Information Act Request Ref: 8901032. Please incorporate my earlier correspondence with your office into this letter.

I have provided additional details to further clarify the type of records that I am seeking. I hope that this information will assist you in your search. Please refer to the enclosed Appendix II for the additional information.

In your letter, you stated that I have been categorized as "Other" for purposes of my FOIA fee assessment. Consequently, I would like to present the following evidence in support of my claim as a preferred FOIA requester. I would ask you to review my request for waiver or reduction, keeping in mind the following comments.

The language of the FOIA makes clear that Congress intended that fees not be a bar to private individuals, public interest organizations, or educational institutions seeking access to government records. At the same time, it permitted that fees be charged to corporations and individuals using the act for private gain.

The legislative history of the FOIA fee waiver/reduction provision calls for a liberal interpretation of the phrase "primarily benefitting the public." This suggests that all fees should be waived whenever the release of the information contributes to public debate on an important policy issue and when the person requesting the information is doing so with the intention of contributing to the uninhibited, robust, and wideopen debate that Congress intended to encourage.

This means that all fees should be waived if two criteria are met. First, the information will contribute to public debate on important policy issues. And second, the information is requested so that it can be used for this purpose.

Since the Freedom of Navigation program information fits the criteria spelled out by Congress for waiving fees in the public interest, I believe that your agency should waive such fees, or, at the very least, reduce them substantially.

Moreover, since this request is for material that clearly is of interest to the public, it would be unfair if the first requester were to bear the full financial burden of the initial search, and therefore the search fees should be waived or significantly reduced.

With these thoughts in mind, I present the following arguments in support of my request for the fee waiver or the significant reduction of fees.

First, I would request that I be granted a fee waiver pursuant to 22 C.F.R. § 171.15. This section provides that documents will be provided without charge, or at a charge reduced below fees assessed to the categories of requesters in § 171.6 and § 171.13 when the Department determines that waiver or reduction of the fees is in the public interest because furnishing the information is likely to contribute significantly to public understanding of the operations of the Department of State and the information is not primarily in the commercial interest of the requester.

I believe that my request for information is in the interests of the general public for the following reasons. To date, there has been no significant study undertaken by a member of the general public on the Freedom of Navigation program. fact, it is conspicuously absent from the scholarly periodicals Given the importance of the Freedom of and other works. Navigation program to United States foreign policy, imperative that the public be given adequate notice of the program so they can make an educated and informed evaluation of The program has resulted in the desirability of the program. several military incidents with Libya that have resulted in the deaths of American military personnel as well as Libyan civilians and Libyan military units. In fact, the Gulf of Sidra has become a constant scene of military confrontation between American naval and air units and Libyan military forces. Also, Freedom of Navigation program exercises in the Black Sea recently led to a naval incident between United States naval units and vessels from Given this high state of international the Soviet Union. conflict that has resulted from the implementation of the Freedom of Navigation program, I feel that it is critical for American citizens to have complete knowledge of the program so they can determine its viability. And as I mentioned previously, to date

there is no significant information from which American citizens can make such a decision. I have searched all major data bases for this information with no success. For the above reasons, I believe that disclosure of the information is in the public interest. I have included statements from Edwin Smith, Professor of Law, at the U.S.C. and Carol Gustin, Associate Director, U.S.C. School of International Relations, in support of this declaration. I have also included a summary of my educational background and work experience in the area of foreign affairs.

As I mentioned in my previous correspondence with your office, the requested information will be used in the preparation of my graduate thesis on the Freedom of Navigation program. Once my thesis has been completed, it will be sent to University Publications for publication and dissemination. Consequently, the information requested will help contribute to the public understanding of the Freedom of Navigation program. After publication by the University, I intend to seek national publication in a major scholarly periodical. However, until I have formally disseminated the information and prepared my thesis, I will be unable to provide further clarification as to these plans for national publication. Nevertheless, this should not limit my request for a fee waiver since the dissemination of the requested material will in and of itself, allow public understanding of the operations of the United States Department of Defense.

For the above reasons, I request that I be granted a waiver of all charges. If this is denied, I request that the charges be significantly reduced. As a student seeking two degrees from the University of Southern California (I am in my third year at the Law Center and have completed my Master's Degree in International Relations.), the fee waiver or fee reduction will significantly assist me in my scholarly research. Moreover, my efforts to obtain this information will be significantly reduced if the waiver or reduction is not granted since my finances are significantly limited. This is relevant since my inability to finance a complete disclosure of the Freedom of Navigation materials will effectively prevent the information from being made available to the general public.

If you determine that I am not entitled to the fee waiver or reduction of fees, I would request that I be included in the "Educational Institution" category pursuant to 22 C.F.R. § 171.14. Under this category, fees shall be limited to only the cost of reproduction, (excluding charges for the first 100 pages) when the request is authorized by, and under the auspices of a qualifying educational institution whose purpose is scholarly research. The only requirements for this category is that the request be made by an educational institution of higher education which operates a program of scholarly research and that the records are not sought for a commercial use. As a research assistant at the University of Southern California Law School, my request falls within this category since I am affiliated with an

institution of higher learning. I have included statements from Edwin Smith, Professor of Law, at the U.S.C. and Carol Gustin, Associate Director, U.S.C. School of International Relations, in support of this declaration.

If my request for a waiver or reduction of fees is not substantially granted, I request an itemization of the charges I am being assessed. As you know, the FOIA permits fees to be charged only for the search and copying costs and not for the review of the material. While I recognize that search situations will vary among requests, according to the above-mentioned regulations, I am entitled to an estimate before I commit to a willingness to pay. Finally, as I am sure you are aware, the FOIA provides that search fees are to be waived -- even before the requested documents are located and reviewed for possible exemptions -- if the prospective release of any such material could be expected to benefit the public. [See <u>Eudey v. CIA</u>, 478 F.Supp. 1175 (D.D.C. 1979).]

I appreciate your assistance in this matter and look forward to hearing from you shortly. I would also request the name and telephone number of the official in your office who will actually be handling this request and to whom I can address further inquiries on its progress.

As provided in the FOIA, I will expect a reply within ten working days. If you have any questions regarding this matter, please do not hesitate to contact me at the above address.

Very truly yours,

William Aceves

Enclosures

APPENDIX II

For the purposes of this request for information under the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. § 552), and the United States Department of States Rules governing the Availability of Information (22 C.F.R. § 171 et seq), I request that any and all information relating to the following be made available to me:

- Carter Administration January 1979, the Α. authorized a study of navigation rights and American interests towards the freedom of the sea. The study was presented to the National Security Council. In March 1979, Zbigniew Brzezinski directed the Department of Defense to develop a plan for implementing the decision to exercise these rights on the high seas. The plan was worked out by the Joint Chiefs of Staff and approved by Brzezinski and recommended a show of the flag to demonstrate American resolve. 1979, the Joint Chiefs sent directives to the Navy and Air Force commanders instructing them that they were authorized to approach coastal states to within three miles.
- B. In August 1979, the Carter Administration ordered the Navy and the Air Force to undertake a policy of deliberately sending ships and planes into and over the disputed waters of nations that claim a territorial

limit of more than the three miles accepted by the U.S. and 21 other nations.

- C. On August 12, 1981, elements of the Sixth Fleet began exercises in the Mediterranean Sea, near the Gulf of Sidra, Libya. Naval units involved included: U.S.S. Nimitz (CVN-68), U.S.S. Forrestal (CV-59). American naval aircraft operating approximately 60 miles from the coast of Libya were attacked by Libyan forces. The attack occurred at 0520 GMT Libya on August 19, 1981. The exercise concluded on August 19, 1981.
- D. On July 25-26, 1984, U.S. forces entered the Gulf of Sidra. Naval units involved included: U.S.S. Saratoga (CVN-60)
- January 1986. Naval units involved included: U.S.S. Coral Sea, and U.S.S. Saratoga (CVBGs). Operations conducted in the Mediterranean Sea in the vicinity of Libya. Also referred to as Operations in the Vicinity of Libya I (OVL-I).
- F. Operation Attain Document II: Second Phase: 10-15
 -February 1986. Naval units involved included: U.S.S.

 Coral Sea, and U.S.S. Saratoga (CVBGs). Operations

conducted in the Mediterranean Sea in the vicinity of Libya. Also referred to as Operations in the Vicinity of Libya II (OVL-II).

G. Operation Attain Document III: Third phase: 23-30 March 1986. Naval units involved included: U.S.S. Coral Sea, U.S.S. Saratoga, U.S.S. America (CVBGs), U.S.S. Scott, U.S.S. Caron, U.S.S. Ticonderoga. Operations conducted in the Mediterranean Sea in the vicinity of Libya and in the Gulf of Sidra. Also referred to as Operations in the Vicinity of Libya III (OVL-III).

H. On February 12, 1988, U.S. warships in the Black Sea, transiting through Soviet territorial waters in innocent passage, were intentionally bumped by Soviet naval units. Naval units involved included: U.S.S. Yorktown (CG-48), U.S.S. Caron (DD-970).

in-Chief, Europe (US-CinCEur) relating to any activities or information referred to in this appendix.

J. Activities by the Sixth Fleet in the Mediterranean Sea involving the Freedom of Navigation program.

K. JCS Memorandum 59-83 (MJCS-83) relating to any ψ_{c}

activities or information referred to in this appendix.

L. Peacetime Rules of Engagement (PROE) relating to any activities or information referred to in this appendix.

the state of the second of the

- M. The Worldwide Peace-time Rules of Engagement relating to any activities or information referred to in this appendix.
- N. Procedures of the Crisis Planning System in volume IV of the Joint Operation Planning System relating to any activities or information referred to any in this appendix.
- O. Comprehensive policy statements by any office, department, organization, bureau, or component on the Freedom of Navigation program.

This list is not a complete inventory of all activities or information relating to the Freedom of Navigation program. The absence of any activity, exercise, or information involving the Freedom of Navigation program from this list shall not be construed to deny my desire to acquire such documentation and information.