

~~TOP SECRET~~

~~EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC DOWNGRADING AND DECLASSIFICATION~~

DOD-NASA Coordination of the Earth Resources Survey Program

1. General Objective

The general objective of the NASA Earth Resources Survey Program (NERSP) is to exploit the potential for economic, scientific, and political benefits through the use of remote sensing devices installed in earth-orbiting spacecraft. (See report of NSAM 156 Ad Hoc Committee dated 11 July, 1966 — Top Secret-Byeman.)

2. Scope

The NASA program may be divided into two phases:

a. Research, Development, and Feasibility Phase Conducted by NASA

(1) Determine those natural and cultural resource data and changing conditions on earth and in the surrounding atmosphere which can best be acquired or observed from spacecraft.

(2) Test and develop the best combination of observational procedures, instruments, subsystems, and interpretative techniques for the acquisition or observation and study of terrestrial natural and cultural resource data and conditions from spacecraft, both for practical applications on earth and in preparation for similar surveys on the moon and the nearer planets.

(3) Determine how the increased frequency and synoptic coverage uniquely afforded by spacecraft observations can aid the study of time variant and relatively unchanging phenomena on the surface of the earth and in the surrounding atmosphere.

~~TOP SECRET~~

12/2 - 55226-66-1  
This document is classified TOP SECRET  
No. 1 2 10 A

~~TOP SECRET~~

(4) Develop improved methods of displaying and disseminating space-acquired natural and cultural resource data on a global basis suitable for utilization by scientific and technical activities, both government and non-government.

(5) Determine which natural and cultural resource data can be most effectively and economically obtained and changing earth conditions observed by manned spacecraft, unmanned satellites, interrogation of surface sensors, or the means currently being used.

b. Operational Phase Conducted by the User Activities

Gather natural and cultural resource data and observe changing earth conditions with spaceborne instruments in an operational repetitive manner for use by scientific and technical activities, both government and non-government.

3. Control Measures

NASA recognizes the necessity of conducting this program in such a manner as to continue to avoid open challenges to satellite observation activity; specifically, to avoid placing the U. S. space reconnaissance program in jeopardy. To this end, NASA proposes that the program go forward under a special NASA-DOD coordinating and monitoring mechanism, governed by a set of guidelines and ground rules acceptable to the Secretary of State, the Secretary of Defense, and the Director of Central Intelligence, the Director of the Office of Science and Technology, and the Administrator of NASA.

~~TOP SECRET~~

~~TOP SECRET~~

Any matters which cannot be resolved at the Manned Space Flight Policy Committee (MSFPC) level will be referred to the Secretary of Defense and the Administrator of NASA for further action. (The NSAM 156 Ad Hoc Committee will remain available for consultation concerning possible political or security issues which might arise.)

#### 4. NASA-DOD Coordination Organization

Matters of common interest and the interactions between NASA and the DOD (as executive agency for the NRP) in connection with the NASA Earth Resources Survey Program (NERSP) will be dealt with on three levels:

(1) The joint NASA-DOD Manned Space Flight Policy Committee (MSFPC), now in existence.

(2) A joint NASA-DOD project level committee, with three members from each Agency, to be established and to be designated the Survey Applications Coordinating Committee (SACC); this Committee will be co-chaired by designated NASA and DOD members; an Executive Secretary will be furnished by NASA.

(3) Normal bi-lateral staff contacts between properly cleared individuals of NASA and the NRO (including individual members of SACC).

#### 5. Functions of the MSFPC Related to NERSP

a. Monitor the program to insure that it is conducted within the agreed guidelines and ground rules, and is in accord with the intent of the July 11, 1966, Report of the NSAM 156 Ad Hoc Committee.

~~TOP SECRET~~

b. When the requirement for improved sensor capabilities in the NERSP has been established by a user agency, and as the known state-of-the-art advances and sensors of improved performances become operational in the NRP, review the limiting criteria governing the use of sensors in the NERSP and, if appropriate, make suitable recommendations to move the prescribed threshold of performance toward more precise ground resolution.

c. Resolve any differences which cannot be resolved at a lower level.

d. Identify and, if necessary, refer to higher authority for guidance any proposed space observation activities by NASA which could be politically sensitive or otherwise cause problems involving the NRP.

6. Functions of the SACC

a. Monitor the program on the project and technical level to insure observance of guidelines and ground rules.

b. Review all studies, experiment plans, work statements, project contracts, etc., for security considerations, overloading of available industrial capacity in the area of advanced state-of-the-art in remote sensors, avoidance of unnecessary duplication in hardware development and production and data acquisition, and responsiveness to the requirements and interests of user agencies and activities of the government.

c. Formulate detailed procedures for the utilization of data made available from DOD programs and for the processing and use of such data in NERSP.

d. Keep the Deputy Administrator, NASA, and the Director, NRO, informed of reconnaissance-related activities of NASA that fall within the currently established threshold of sensitive sensor performance.

7. Specific Guidelines, Criteria, and Ground Rules for the Conduct of NERSP

a. Activities of interest to NRO

An activity is defined as the expenditure of NASA research and development money with a university or industry, or the transfer of money to another organization to be used in this way. The activities to be brought to the attention of the MSFPC/SACC are those involving the study, design, development, fabrication, or test of reconnaissance-like sensors (as defined below), or significant components thereof, for use in orbital systems, and studies of the use of such sensors in orbital systems.

b. Reconnaissance-like sensors

A reconnaissance-like sensor is currently defined to be an image forming sensor having an angular resolution of .1 milliradian or finer, or an optical or infrared image forming system with a physical aperture greater than 30 cm, and an optical figure controlled to better than 1/4 wave length. Until revised downward, these criteria will define the sensitive sensor performance threshold.

c. Related activities of interest to NRO

(1) RFP's, requests for program recommendations, and plans for symposia or conferences where the subject matter is or could evolve into an activity of interest as defined in 7.a. and 7.b. above, will be coordinated

with the MSFPC/SACC prior to finalization and issuance. However, in the case of working meetings or conferences for on-going program coordination, it will be sufficient to inform these coordinating agencies prior to the event.

(2) Any plans for missions involving reconnaissance-like sensors in polar or near polar orbits will be coordinated with the MSFPC/SACC early in the conceptual phase. It is understood that in the early stages of NERSP, NASA will be flying low inclination orbits to observe test sites in the United States, but later will require polar orbits.

(3) Regardless of application, the MSFPC/SACC will be kept informed (including the furnishing of copies of Work Statements, 1122's, when appropriate) concerning any NASA activities involving the development or test of pointing, tracking, and stabilizing techniques or systems in which the pointing accuracy is better than 20 microradians or the unstabilized rate is less than 20 microradians per second. MSFPC/SACC will also be kept informed regarding the development or test of recording media for use with reconnaissance-like sensors.

d. Any development and/or procurement of reconnaissance-like sensors by NASA will be carried out through the NRO, as provided in the DOD/CIA-NASA Agreement on NASA Reconnaissance Programs, dated August 28, 1963.

e. The initiation of new NASA programs which essentially duplicate equipment capabilities or operations of the NRO, or vice versa, will not be allowed to occur unless, after a thorough consideration of each specific

g. The SACC shall be responsible for bringing to the attention of the MSFPC, prior to initiation, all programs which are either specifically activities of interest (as defined in a. above) or are potentially activities of interest because of the latitude which will be allowed to contractors or other government agencies. Programs which are of potential interest to the NRO for any of the reasons enumerated under c. above will also be brought before the MSFPC.

h. The DOD members of the SACC, with the assistance of the NRO Staff, will be responsible for keeping the NASA SACC members informed, by means of formal briefings, informal discussions, and pertinent reports and documents, of NRP activities related to satellite reconnaissance which are pertinent to the SACC and MSFPC functions.

i. When a NASA activity involving reconnaissance-like sensors or related equipment is brought before the SACC, an attempt will be made to determine whether the objectives of the proposed program can be met by a limitation to sensors which fall outside the definition of reconnaissance-like sensors. If it is determined that such sensors can be substituted, NASA will undertake to modify its program accordingly. However, in all cases the SACC will review the program for the factors listed in 7.c. above and report any significant findings to the MSFPC.

j. If an activity of interest has been reviewed as in 7.i. above, and it has been determined that the objectives of the program cannot be met except with reconnaissance-like sensors, the program will be submitted via

~~TOP SECRET~~

~~TOP SECRET~~

the DCD members of the SACC to the NRO to determine: (1) whether an existing system, sensor, or related equipment will meet the needs of the program or whether a new development is needed, and (2) the security limitations which must be imposed upon the use of the sensor in the program. These determinations will be reported to the SACC for appropriate action or for reference to the MSFPC together with the recommendations of the SACC.

k. Where a new development of a reconnaissance-like sensor is needed, and if NASA accepts the security requirements as feasible, a detailed agreement between NASA and the NRO will be drawn up to be signed by the Deputy Administrator, NASA, and the Director, NRO, covering studies, analysis, development, and/or acquisition of the sensor in accordance with the DOD/CIA-NASA Agreement of August 28, 1963.

l. In the event that NASA considers the security levels recommended by the NRO under 7.j. above to be such as would seriously inhibit its ability to conduct a useful program and, further, that NASA considers it is in the national interest to conduct the program, the SACC will prepare and forward a brief of the pertinent facts for consideration by the MSFPC.

m. In the event that a proposal by NASA does not require the use of reconnaissance-like sensors but is of possible concern to the NRO because of any of the factors listed under 7.c. above, the SACC will review and analyze the program, and report its findings and recommendations to the MSFPC.

~~TOP SECRET~~

~~TOP SECRET~~



~~TOP SECRET~~

DOD-NASA Coordination of the Earth Resources Survey Program

10

Approved:

John S. Foster, Jr.

John S. Foster, Jr.  
Director of Defense Research  
and Engineering  
Department of Defense

Date \_\_\_\_\_

Robert C. Seamans, Jr.

Robert C. Seamans, Jr.  
Deputy Administrator  
National Aeronautics and Space  
Administration

Date SEP 23 1966