



Directorate of Intelligence

Intelligence Memorandum DCI Counternarcotics Center 29 July 1992



Narco-Insurgent Links in the Andes

Summary

Relations between traffickers and insurgents in Colombia and Peru will continue to be characterized by both cooperation and friction. In general, the insurgents are seeking larger profits from narcotics and diversifying their roles in the drug trade. Besides extorting money for protecting trafficker infrastructure and drug shipments, many insurgents directly participate in coca growing and processing. Moreover, Colombian guerrilla groups have become increasingly involved in that country's emerging opium and heroin trade. Although traffickers occasionally benefit from guerrilla protection, they resent the insurgents and sometimes have used force to resist their encroachment. Andean government assertions that increased attacks against the insurgents would affect the drug trade are primarily an attempt to convince the US to allow the use of counternarcotics aid for counterinsurgency operations; in fact, many traffickers would support government counterinsurgency operations.

This memorandum was prepared by	DCI Counternarcotics
Center, with contributions from	
Office of African and Latin American Analysis	s. Comments and queries
are welcome and may be directed to the Direc	tor, DCI Counternarcotics
Center.	



301 101 103





Cooperation Yields Gains for Traffickers

Cooperation ricing damp for registreners					
Colombian and Peruvian insurgents help facilitate the drug trade in areas they control by protecting key trafficking infrastructure and engaging in trafficking activities. Guerrillas guard coca fields and processing laboratories and protect drug transshipment operations by providing security at clandestine airstrips. They have also become more directly involved in the transportation of drugs.					
In addition, traffickers occasionally use the insurgents to attack their enemies—both government and rival traffickers. This activity is particularly pronounced in					
Colombia,					
Although such cooperation has been less discernible in Peru, Sendero Luminoso (SL)the most powerful Peruvian insurgent group-has, on at least one occasion,					
attacked a government outpost at the behest of traffickers.					
•					
their involvement in the region's emerging opium and heroin trade. FARC and ELN units reportedly have been providing protection to poppy fields since the late 1980s,					
Since then, poppy cultivation					
by Colombian insurgents has increased,					
Although some far-left groups in Bolivia-the world's second largest coca					
producer					
they have only a marginal role in supporting the drug trade.					

Sec et 61 M 92-20010



•	
•	
	All of these
groups, however, are too small, poorly organized, and lack popular significant hand in drug trafficking.	All of these support to have a
Financial Gains for Guerrillas	
Guerrillas are involved with the narcotics industry primarily	to raise funds.
•	
Assessments of drug revenues collected by Colombian insurg	ents are more
uncertain, but because of their more extensive involvement in the dr	ug trade we helieve
that the drug earnings of Colombian insurgents exceeds that of their counterparts.	Peruvian
Councerparis.	
Colombian ins	urgents probably
continue to raise much of their funding through extortion and kidnar	pping.
Andean insurgents first became involved in the drug trade by	imposing "war
taxes" on coca growers and traffickers in their operational areas, a n	nethod that
continues to be their primary means of collecting drug revenues. In	the late 1970s,
FARC fronts in Colombia charged growers and traffickers for safe pareas under the group's control; this activity was officially sanctioned	assage through
National Directorate in 1982. Since opening a front in Peru's prima	ry coca growing
region-the Upper Huallaga Valley-in the mid-1980s, the Sendero I	uminoso has been
taxing drug enterprises and exacting contributions in kind from coca	growers there.
Direct revenues from cultivation and processing and the	•
Direct revenues from cultivation and processing appear to be some groups as they accept a broader role in the trade.	increasing among
since at least the mid-1980s, FARC and ELN units in Colombia have	e cultivated coca
and processed cocaine paste and base.	
	Sec. 9
3	CNC-192-20010
J	₩

• _ '

.



What Drug Revenues Buy

Colombian guerrillas have long used their drug ties to obtain arms and ammunition, either buying them directly from traffickers—who have extensive ties to private dealers—or receiving them in payment for protection of coca processing, storage, and transshipment sites.

Narco-Insurgent Friction

Despite benefits that traffickers derive from their links with guerrillas, insurgent participation in the drug trade has adversely affected the narcotics industry and raised tension between the two groups. In addition to losses incurred through such forced payments as "revolutionary taxes,"

some traffickers have had their once smoothly running drug operations disrupted, their efforts to develop processing and transshipping capabilities impeded, and their control of coca prices threatened. In 1990, for example, Sendero's self-appointed role to protect peasant coca-growers from exploitation led it to set prices for coca products. Several traffickers who tried to circumvent SL price controls reportedly were killed.

Sec. et

4



A 92-20010

In addition, some drug groups in Peru and Colombia have retaliated with violence and have turned to corrupt military and police forces for protection against guerrillas.					
			·		
although financi raising revenues number of their regions.	nent in the drug trade al and other benefits j and obtaining weapo combat personnel to a insurgents would elin heir role in the drug t	probably outwerns from drug static, vulnerab	eigh any liabilities. ources has tied a disple positions near dr A Sendero spokesn problem if they ass	Their focus on sproportionate ug-producing	
	Belgingskie g		-		
	10m 14 g g				
				•	

Implications

Despite the volatility of trafficker-insurgent relations, even sporadic cooperation will continue to pose problems for government antidrug efforts. In areas of guerrilla control, traffickers in Colombia and Peru will continue to tolerate low levels of guerrilla involvement to protect their drug trade, accepting moderate "war taxes" as a necessary cost of business. Their ties to insurgents will provide them a greater retaliatory capability against government antidrug efforts, although Colombian



traffickers in particular have demonstrated an ability and willingness to use their own paramilitaries against government targets during periods of heightened counternarcotics

Andean governments are likely to continue to stress the links between local insurgencies and the drug trade in hopes of convincing the US that funding counterinsurgency operations with counternarcotics aid would lead to major gains against traffickers. However, we do not believe that the drug industry would be substantially disrupted in the short term by attacks against guerrillas. Indeed, many traffickers would probably welcome, and even assist, increased operations against insurgents. Moreover, we believe officials in Lima and Bogota, if given antidrug aid for counterinsurgency purposes, would turn it to pure antiguerrilla operations with little payoff against trafficking.

To the extent that insurgents in Colombia and Peru can be contained, however, long term improvements in rural security could lead to more effective antidrug efforts. A more secure environment would extend the reach of police counternarcotics forces by allowing them to use forward basing in areas formerly controlled by guerrillas. Significant diminution of the insurgent threat would enable antidrug police units to rely on vehicle transport, which is cheaper and generally more available than helicopter support, and conduct some enforcement operations with fewer personnel. Nevertheless, lacking effective government anticorruption efforts, operational security would continue to be compromised by suborned civilian and military officials.

Even if no longer preoccupied by counterinsurgency requirements, the militaries in Peru and Colombia would see their primary role as national defense and would be reluctant to fully support a counternarcotics mission. They have consistently expressed concerns over the legality, and potential public backlash, of armed forces participation in antidrug operations. Should their resources be diminished by budget stringencies, however, the Peruvian and Colombian militaries might overcome their misgivings about counternarcotics operations in the hopes that by enlarging their efforts they would gain increased US military aid.

