

EICHMANN

DISPATCH	CLASSIFICATION SECRET	PROCESSING	
		PROPOSED	ACTION
			MARKED FOR INDEXING
			NO INDEXING REQUIRED
			ONLY QUALIFIED HEADQUARTERS DESA CAN JUDGE INDEXING
		ABSTRACT	ACCOMPLISHED
		MICROFILM	

ICT EICHMANN Trial Visit

REFERENCES 2 May 1961

1. Although [] does enjoy the advantage of an adequate cover, Headquarters is surprised that [] would reveal his close connection with [] to the Embassy and permit a situation to develop whereby the Charge could call [] office and perhaps forbid him to visit the trial.

2. Charges are notoriously insecure and under the circumstances between two Ambassadors, it would have been preferable to have said nothing to the Embassy or to have refused the invitation.

3. In reference to paragraph 12, the predominant impression in Israel may be one of dignity, but the publicity it is getting in the United States certainly equals the HAUFMANN trial reporting, and this despite the fact that Cuba, Laos, etc., have taken a good share of the newsprint.

4. [] might ask how the 100 tourists a day are selected to visit the trial. We have a report to the effect that the Israel Tourist Bureau in New York City is selling a package EICHMANN trial tour for \$2,000 which includes trial tickets.

- EXEMPTIONS Section 3(b)
- (2)(A) Privacy
 - (2)(B) Methods/Sources
 - (2)(G) Foreign Relations

DATE TYPED	DATE DISPATCHED
	12 May 1961
DISPATCH SYMBOL AND NUMBER	
RELATIONSHIP FILE NUMBER	
CLASSIFICATION SECRET	
ORIGINATING	
OFFICE	OFFICER
COORDINATING	
OFFICE SYMBOL	DATE
OFFICER'S NAME	
RELEASING	
OFFICER'S SIGNATURE	

NAZI WAR CRIMES DISCLOSURE ACT
2000
CIA SPECIAL COLLECTIONS
RELEASE AS SANITIZED
2000

DISPATCH

FORM 53 USE PREVIOUS EDITIONS UNLESS INDICATED OTHERWISE