

TELEGRAM

14 20 83

INDICATE
 COLLECT
 CHARGE TO

A187

R

	FROM AMEMBASSY TEGUCIGALPA	CLASSIFICATION SECRET
E.O. 11652: TAGS: SUBJECT:	12356: DECL: OADR MOPS HO HONDURAN CONFIDENCE BUILDING ACTIVITIES	
ACTION:	SECSTATE WASHDC	
	SECRET TEGUCIGALPA 2720	
	STADIS one FOR JOHNSTONE ARA/CEN FROM AMBASSADOR	
	REF: (A) TEGUCIGALPA 238i; (B) TEGUCIGALPA 2507	
	1. S entire mtext.	
AMB DCM	2. With the increase in the interaction between USG and Honduran Military staffs which began in earnest last fall, we have increasingly been troubled by the apparent lack of coordination among Washington agencies, and, more particularly, Defense and State. SOUTHCOM has been quite good about attempting to engage the Embassy at an early date in its planning and has frequently solicited and reflected our views. SOUTHCOM has, however, consistently failed to include the Department of State as an addressee on its messages, and we have repeatedly discovered that projects were moving forward without adequate, or sometimes any,	
DRAFTED BY: DCM: SCLowman: mnh	DRAFTING DATE Mar 21	TEL. EXT. 212
CLEARANCES:	CONTENTS AND CLASSIFICATION APPROVED BY: AMBASSADOR	

~~SECRET~~
CLASSIFICATION

OPTIONAL FORM 153
(Formerly FS-413)
January 1975
Dept. of State

50153-101

Dept. of State, RPS/IPS, Margaret P. Grafeld, Dir.
() Release () Excise () Deny () Declassify
Date 6/27/98 Exemption _____

coordination with State.

3. Initially, we found our interaction with SOUTHCOM to be relatively productive. Additionally, we found ourselves in general agreement in substance on much of what SOUTHCOM proposed. Increasingly, however, we have been reluctant to engage ~~XXXX~~ SOUTHCOM in such an interchange because of our increasing awareness that these projects appear to be progressing without ^{coordination} ~~XXXXXXXXXXXX~~ with the Department. For example, last fall, we found that our discussions with SOUTHCOM and SOUTHCOM's discussions with JCS on ^{Ahaus} ~~XXXX~~ Tara had progressed quite far without the Department's having been brought in. More recently, there have been a number of cases in which JCS has approved activities which impinge upon the Embassy's ~~jurisdiction~~ jurisdiction, and we have been presented with SOUTHCOM plans to proceed with the implementation of such proposals without having received any instructions from the Department. Reftels are two examples of this.

4. While I would emphasize that we do not find ourselves in any basic conflict with SOUTHCOM, I believe that it is essential that proper coordination procedures be developed and adhered to or we face a high probability of a serious SNAFU in the future. I would urge you to take this up with DOD and JCS on a priority basis.

NEGROPONTE

[Handwritten signature]