Memorandum

TO:      MR. MILLER

FROM:    W. M. FELT

DATE:    2-14-73

SUBJECT: WATERGATE

Attached is a copy of an article from the Washington Post dated 2-14-73 captioned "Data from Security Taps Reported Given Liddy, Hunt."

The article, which was written by Bob Woodward and Carl Bernstein, states that E. Howard Hunt, Jr., and G. Gordon Liddy regularly reviewed information obtained from national security wiretaps while they worked at the White House during 1971 and 1972.

Mr. Gray has instructed that a detailed analysis be prepared of this article for his information and guidance and for his briefing book.

Mr. Gray desires that our dissemination procedures to the White House of security information be described in detail. In other words, what is our dissemination to the White House, does it take different forms, and is information from national security wiretaps included?

In your memorandum you should anticipate all possible questions which might be asked Mr. Gray concerning this matter and provide the answers.

This matter should be expedited.
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Data From Security Taps
Reported Given Liddy, Hunt

By Bob Woodward
and Carl Bernstein
Washington Post Staff Writers

Information obtained from national security wiretaps was regularly routed to Watergate participants E. Howard Hunt Jr. and G. Gordon Liddy while they worked in the White House, according to informed sources.

The sources said that the two had received the information for several months in 1971 and 1972, at a time when the Nixon administration was wiretapping domestic radical groups without court approval. Ordinarily, President Nixon's reelection committee testified in the Watergate trial last year that it received no wiretaps from the FBI.

The sources also said that the information from national security wiretaps generally came to the FBI as another agency's case and was collected by the Justice Department.

The Washington Post learned that Hunt and Liddy were given access to the wiretaps, but the Post could not verify the extent of that access.

There are two types of national security wiretaps that Hunt and Liddy could have had access to—those against suspected "foreign" subversives and those against suspected "domestic" subversives.

The national security "domestic" taps have been one of the most controversial underpinnings of the Nixon administration. Justice Department spokesman, said he couldn't "rule out" the possibility that Hunt and Liddy received information from the wiretaps. "But I can't see any place where they'd have a need to know," Hushen said.

The Supreme Court, in a June ruling, involved with unauthorized wiretaps of "domestic" radical groups, but the executive branch is still allowed to place taps on suspected "foreign" groups without court approval.

After the ruling, the Justice Department said it stopped 12 "domestic" taps.

The sources did not reveal which specific wiretap went to Hunt and Liddy, just that the information was regularly routed to them for several months during their White House employment.

Hunt worked as a consultant in the White House from about July 1971 to at least March 1972. Liddy was an aide to the White House Domestic Council from June.
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1971 to December, 1971, when he joined the Nixon re-election committee.

The sources said that neither Hunt nor Liddy initiated any new wiretaps for the government, though there have been press reports that Liddy wanted to bug The New York Times to discover who leaked the Pentagon Papers. The Justice Department reportedly rejected this suggestion.

According to the sources, E. Howard Hunt, the former White House aide who was in overall charge of the "plumbers," was not aware until well after the June 17, 1972, Watergate break-in that Hunt and Liddy had received information from national security wiretaps when they worked for him.

Liddy, now the undersecretary of the Department of Transportation, became "very quiet" when he found out, one source said. Federal sources have said that Krogh, like Young, was in no way involved in the other activities of Hunt and Liddy.

All national security wiretaps during 1971 and the beginning of 1972 had to be approved personally by John N. Mitchell, who was then the attorney general.

Liddy said last summer in a sworn deposition that it was Mitchell who recommended him for the job as general counsel to the Nixon re-election committee. Mitchell, who was the Nixon campaign manager for three months, denied in his own sworn deposition that he recommended Liddy for the job.

Testimony in the Watergate trial last month revealed that soon after Liddy became general counsel to the Nixon committee in December, 1971, he was assigned the task of setting up a $250,000 intelligence-gathering network. He received $235,000 of that money, according to the testimony.

Liddy and James W. McCord Jr., the former Nixon committee security coordinator, were found guilty last month of all charges against them in the Watergate bugging conspiracy. Hunt and four other men pleaded guilty to all charges against them in the case. All seven men are to be sentenced next month.

During the period Hunt and Liddy were employed in the White House, the FBI claimed it had about 50 active national security taps.

In June, 1971, Mitchell defended "domestic" wiretaps, saying: "To withhold such basic powers from the President on the ground they might be abused is to argue in a paraphrase of (Alexander Hamilton's words, 'that there ought to be no President.'"

Mitchell continued, in a speech before the Virginia State Bar Association: "There is no dividing line between hostile foreign forces seeking to undermine our internal security and hostile domestic groups seeking the overthrow of our government. I don't see how we can separate the two, but if it was possible, I would say that history has shown greater danger from the domestic variety."

Testimony in the Watergate trial suggested that Mitchell—at least indirectly—approved the allocation of $250,000 for an intelligence-gathering network for the Nixon re-election committee. One Republican source has said that Mitchell directly approved the funding.

Mitchell has declined repeated requests for interviews about the Watergate break-in or the intelligence-gathering operation. He has flatly denied knowledge of the bugging and has not publicly discussed the intelligence operation.

Before the Supreme Court ruling against "domestic" taps, Richard G. Kleindienst, the nominee to succeed Mitchell as attorney general, said that the purpose of the domestic taps is "intelligence gathering ... a lot of it is done without the thought of prosecuting the subjects of the wiretaps."

One Justice Department said that the "domestic" taps were largely a way to keep law enforcement officials "plugged into" the activities of radical groups.