COORDINATION AND FILE COPY

UNCLASSIFIED

02/01202

FILE DE

SSO 9SRW BEALE AFB CALIF

SSO SAC

INFO:

DIA

NPIC

T 9SRW DCI.

DIA FOR COL GASTON, COL TIEMANN. NPIC FOR NICE SAC FOR DIR/DIS. SUBJECT: NICE GIRL TARGETS ROUTESE. REFERENCE NPIC GIRL PROJECT. REQUEST IN REFERENCE MESSAGE TO ANNOTATE SR-71 COVERAGE OF THE DIA/NPIC TARGET LIST FOR NICE GIRL ROUTES IS NOTED BELOW. I, OF THE EIGHTY-SIX TARGETS SELECTED BY THE DIA/NPIC TEAM, FIFTY-FIVE TARGETS WERE NOT SPECIFICALLY PROGRAMMED FOR COVERAGE BY THE 9SRW. OF THESE FIFTY-FIVE TARGETS NOT PROGRAMMED, TWENTY-NINE ARE ACQUIRED AS "BONUS" TARGETS - NOT BEING SPECIFICALLY PROGRAMMED FOR COVERAGE, THE MAJORITY OF THESE TWENTY-NINE TARGETS FALL IN OUTER TWENTY-SIX OF THE FIFTY-FIVE TARGETS ARE PORTIONS OF THE FRAMES. NOT COVERED; OF THIS TWENTY-SIX, FOURTEEN COULD BE COVERED BY RE-PROGRAMMING THE SENSORS WITH NO DEVIATION FROM CURRENT TRACK OR OTHER TARGET COVERAGE, TWELVE COULD NOT BE COVERED BY REPROGRAMMING

2774

CHARLES E. BAILEY, Colonel, USAF Deputy Commander for Intelligence

Group 3 Downgraded at 12 year intervals; not

automatically declass CHARLES E. BAILEY, Colonel, USAF Deputy Commander for Intelligence

PHONE NO.

COORDINATION AND FILE COPY



UNCLASSIFIED

OF THESE TWELVE TARGETS, FIVE COULD BE COVERED WITH A MINOR CHANGE TO THE CURRENT TRACK. SEVEN OF THE TWELVE COULD NOT BE COVERED BECAUSE SENSORS ARE SIMULTANEOUSLY PROGRAMMED ON OTHER TARGETS, NOT ALL OF WHICH ARE THE DIA/NPIC TARGETS. IT'S DIFFICULT TO UNDERSTAND THE LOGIC/CONCEPT UTILIZED BY THE DIA/NPIC GROUP IN THE DEVELOPMENT OF THEIR TARGET LIST. A BRIEF REVIEW OF THE MAJOR ACTIONS/EVENTS IN THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE "NICE GIRL" COMPETITION WOULD INDICATE THAT THE LIST IS SOMEWHAT IMPRACTICAL FOR A REALISTIC AND IMPARTIAL COMPARISON/ANALYSIS OF PRODUCTS. THE TWO "NICE GIRL" ROUTES WERE DEVELOPED JOINTLY BY OPCEN AND SAC WITHOUT THE BENEFIT OF ANY SPECIFIC TARGET COLLECTION REQUIREMENTS. GENERAL GUIDANCE WAS PROVIDED BY DIA WHICH EQUATED TO PLAN FOR COLLECTION AGAINST AGAINST AIRFIELDS, MISSILE SITES, INDUSTRIAL INSTALLATIONS, ETC. THE 9SRW WAS DIRECTED BY DIA (COL GASTON) TO PROGRAM SR-71 SENSORS ON EACH ROUTE AS DESIRED BY 9SRW AND FORWARD A TARGET LIST FOR EACH ROUTE TO DIA AND NPIC. THIS WAS ACCOMPLISHED. FOLLOWING THIS, AFTER ROUTES HAD BEEN SELECTED, TARGETS SELECTED, SENSORS PROGRAMMED AND TARGET LISTS FORWARDED, THE DIA/NPIC ANALYSIS GROUP DEVELOPES A LIST OF TARGETS FOR COMPETITIVE COMPARISON IN WHICH ON ROUTE I, OVER FIFTY PERCENT OF THE TARGETS WERE NOT PROGRAMMED BY 9SRW FOR COVERAGE. OBVIOUSLY THE ENTIRE EXERCISE HAS BEEN DEVELOPED BACKWARD.

` I s

DIE:

70 .1

. . . .

44.5

UNCLASSIFIED

29/16

COORDINATION AND FILE COPY

UNCLASSIFIED



EXERCISE SHOULD HAVE BEEN STARTED WITH A TARGET COLLECTION REQUIRE-MENT, THEN PROGRAMMED THE ROUTE AND SENSORS TO OPTIMIZE THE COLLEC-TION EFFORT. THIS IS EXPECIALLY CRITICAL AND SIGNIFICANT WHEN PRO-GRAMMING POINTING SENSORS IE TEOC AND HRR. IF THE DIA/NPIC TARGET LIST IS USED TO DEVELOPE SENSOR PERFORMANCE NORMS, THE LACK OF OPORTUNITY TO OPTIMIZE THE COLLECTION EFFORT AGAINST THE DIA/NPIC LIST IS NOT TOO SIGNIFICANT BECAUSE SUFFICIENT SAMPLES WILL EXIST. HOW-EVER, IF A TARGET WEIGHTING SYSTEM IS DEVELOPED FOR COMPARISON PURPOSES, THE DIA/NPIC TARGET LIST IS OBVIOUSLY IMPRACTICAL FOR THIS PURPOSE. THE SAME CONDITIONS EXIST ON THE DIA/NPIC TARGET LIST FOR ROUTE TWO. OF THE NINTY-FOUR NPIC/DIA TARGETS, SEVENTY TARGETS WERE NOT SPECIFICALLY PROGRAMMED FOR COVERAGE BY 9SRW. OF THESE SEVENTY TARGETS, FORTY-THREE ARE ACQUIRED AS "BONUS" TARGETS. TWENTY-SEVEN OF THE SEVENTY TARGETS ARE NOT COVERED; OF THIS TWENTY-SEVEN, THREE COULD BE COVERED BY REPROGRAMMING THE SENSORS WITH NO ROUTE CHANGE, FIVE COULD BE COVERED BY MINOR ROUTE CHANGES, TWELVE TARGETS COULD NOT BE COVERED BECAUSE SENSORS ARE SIMULTANEOUSLY PROGRAMMED ON OTHER TARGETS, NOT ALL OF WHICH ARE DIA/NPIC TARGETS. SEVEN TARGETS ARE IN THE TURN IN WHICH NO TARGETS WERE ORIGINALLY PROGRAMMED. HOWEVER, FIVE OF THE SEVEN WILL PROBABLY BE COVERED. AS A MATTER OF GENERAL INFORMATIONS, THE DIA/NPIC TARGET LIST DIDN'T INCLUDE SEVEN-

UNCL'SSIFIED

3 //

RETURN OFFICE SYMBOL

.);

10

3.5

RIGINATOR'S NAME AND SEAS

PHONE NO.

TYPISMS DATE TYPED

ADMIN SER

COORDINATION AND SECUTION OF THE PROPERTY OF T



TEEN TARGETS SELECTED ON ROUTE I AND TWENTY-NINE TARGETS ON ROUTE
TWO, SUBMITTED ON 9SRW TARGET LISTS. COVERAGE BY SPECIFIC TARGETS
FOLLOWS:

CO OFFIC SYMBO

· · ·

10

Z 134

15770

ar Jean

.: TATOH

UNCLASSIFIED 4-16

PHONE NO. TYPIST'S DATE TY