doubles the current appropriation of the Army Corps of Engineers to $4 million. It is crucial that the Army Corps has adequate funding to pursue zebra mussel control technology. Since the Army Corps has used its full authority in recent years, doubling the authorization will assure they have access to the proper resources to do a thorough job.

There is one further issue that my bill does not address, but represents an important piece of the fight to stop the introduction of new exotic and harmful species. The lamprey and the zebra mussels were both imported through the ballast tanks of international ships. In recent years, the ruffe, a small fish, was introduced the same way and while it is not yet in Lake Champlain, its population is expanding in the Great Lakes. My colleagues Senator GLENN, the original author of the Aquatic Nuisance Species Act, and Senator SARBAES will introduce a bill that addresses the looholes in current ballast water controls that allow ships to unleash these devastating and costly pests into our State waters.

I hope to make America's fresh water resources completely off limits for expensive and damaging exotic pests. I'll forward a bill along with Senators GLENN and SARBAES to address all of these issues comprehensively.

Mr. President, I present this bill with the hope that the Senate will act on it in a timely manner. Every minute that we delay allows the zebra mussels to multiply exponentially and risks the physical and economic health of Vermont. To turn our backs on this problem of national significance only guarantees that it gets much worse.

I just ask my colleagues who knew little or nothing about zebra mussels as recently as a few years ago, and are now plagued by their existence.

By Mr. LEAHY (for himself, Mr. BROWN and Mr. KERRY). S. 1090. A bill to amend section 552 of title 5, United States Code (commonly known as the Freedom of Information Act), to provide for public access to information in an electronic format, and for other purposes; to the Committee on the J udiciary.

THE ELECTRONIC FREEDOM OF INFORMATION IMPROVEMENT ACT OF 1995

Mr. LEAHY. Mr. President, today I am joined by Senators BROWN and KERRY in introducing the Electronic Freedom of Information Improvement Act.

This bill would increase public access to the electronic records of Federal agencies by taking steps to alleviate the delays in processing requests for Government records. In the last Congress, a unanimous J udiciary Committee reported the bill, which then passed the Senate by voice vote on August 25, 1994.

The growing national information infrastructure will consist of interconnected computer networks and databases that can put vast amounts of information at users' fingertips. Such an information infrastructure will give the public easy access to the immense volumes of information generated and held by the Government. Individual Federal agencies are already contributing to that database, but the benefits are not fully realized by using technology to make Government information more easily accessible to our citizens. For example, the Internet Multicasting Service [IMS] now posts massive Government data archives, including the Securities and Exchange Commission data and the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office database on the Internet free of charge. Similarly, FedWorld, a bulletin board available on the Internet, provides a gateway to more than 60 Federal agencies.

The Electronic Freedom of Information Improvement Act would contribute to that information flow by increasing online access to Government information, including agency regulations, public meetings, documents, and FOIA-released records that are the subject of repeated requests.

Some agencies are taking important steps in this direction. For example, the Department of Energy compiled a database of experiments describing federally-sponsored tests of radiation on human beings and put made that database available on the World Wide Web. Now, instead of responding to multiple requests for the same documents, the Department of Energy can simply forward one request to FOIA. The Vice-President has described his vision of the electronic Government of the future, where information technology will enable people to have access to public information and services when and where they want them.

Making Government information readily available electronically on people's computers can help to revitalize citizens' interest in learning what their Government is doing and better their understanding of the reasons underlying Government actions. This would, I believe, help reduce cynicism about Government.

This electronic FOIA bill is an important step forward in using technology to make Government more accessible and accountable to our citizens.

In addition, Federal agencies must work to reduce the long delays, which in some agencies stretch to over 2 years, that it takes to give responses to FOIA requests. Because of these delays, newspaper reporters, students and teachers and others working under time deadlines, have been frustrated in using FOIA to meet their research needs. This works to the detriment of us all.

These delays are intolerable. This is not the level of customer service the American people deserve from their public servants. The American taxpayer has paid for the collection and maintenance of Federal information and should get prompt access to it upon request. That is what the law requires and that is the standard of service Government agencies should meet. Long delays in access can mean no access at all.

The bill addresses the delay problem in several ways: first, the bill doubles the 10 day statutory time limit to 20 days to give agencies a more realistic time period for responding to FOIA requests. Second, the bill encourages agencies to implement a two-track processing system for simple and complex requests. Third, the bill provides for expedited access to requesters who demonstrate a compelling need for a speedy response. Finally, the bill gives agencies an incentive to comply with statutory time limits by allowing agencies in compliance to retain half of their fees, instead of submitting those fees to the general treasury as is currently the case. This fee arrangement can keep agencies from a back to the agency FOIA operation to provide an incentive and resources to make these operations better and more efficient.